

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015	Document: Task 1 report overview of comments
------------------	--

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. p 6 para 5)	<b>Type of comment<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>SH<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Proposed change by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Consortium observations on each comment submitted</b>

## Table of Contents

A. COMMENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS .....	2
a. Format .....	2
b. Papers .....	53
B. COMMENTS OF PRIVATE STAKEHOLDERS .....	54
a. Format .....	54
b. E-mail .....	63

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general    **te** = technical    **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. p 6 para 5)	<b>Type of comment<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>SH<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Proposed change by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Consortium observations on each comment submitted</b>

## A. COMMENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS

### a. Format

Please find below the comments of organizations (using the format) on the draft of the Task 1 report for the Ecodesign Preparatory Study on Smart Appliances :

- ANEC BEUC                      ANEC/BEUC
- ATG                                Ariston Thermo Group
- BDEW                            German Association for Energy and Water Industries
- CECAPI                         European Committee of Electrical Installation Equipment Manufacturers
- CECED                         European Committee of Domestic Equipment Manufacturers
- CENELEC TC13                European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
- CLASP                         CLASP ngo
- DAIKIN                         Daikin Europe NV
- ECODESIGN                    ECODESIGN company GmbH
- ECOS                            European environmental Citizens' Organisation for Standardisation
- EHI                                European Heating Industry
- ENEA                            Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development
- EPEE                            European Partnership for Energy and the Environment
- ESMIG                         European Smart Metering Industry Group
- eu.bac                         European Building Automation and Controls Association
- JBCE                            Japan Business Council in Europe
- NVE                             Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate
- RWE                            RWE Deutschland AG
- UBA                             Umweltbundesamt - Federal Environment Agency

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general    **te** = technical    **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. p 6 para 5)	<b>Type of comment<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>SH<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Proposed change by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Consortium observations on each comment submitted</b>

General		ge	ATG	<p><u>Relevance of Water heating</u> Storage water heating represents one of the applications with the highest DR potential. This is not due only because of the absolute value of the energy involved but also because this type of application provides a capacity to store the energy in a form ready to be delivered to the user without any further transformation (and losses). Storage WHs fit into several types of DR and represent one of the highest potential application to balance supply and load, in terms of anticipation or delay, in terms of time lapse or consumption modulation We find puzzling that one of the products with the highest potential is not even taken into consideration for use cases already developed or that could be developed.</p> <p><u>Relevance of storage water heaters</u> The study classifies WH as a continuous appliance, homogeneously with refrigerators and freezers. We find this definition generic. The relevance of all those products from a DR standpoint is their capacity to store energy and deliver it to the user when it is needed. as detailed in the analysis only storage WH have a real DR potential and can operate continuously, as per the provided definition. We propose to amend the definitions accordingly.</p> <p><u>Long term scenarios</u> The study correctly elaborates about the DR potential in the medium to long term; however the projections do not portray the long term changes that are foreseen. At page 2 it is forecast correctly that heating and transportations will be increasingly electrified. However the potentials are calculated on the basis of a rather BAU situation: at page 57 (Page 7 of the annex) 10% increase is foreseen in the installed base from 2015 till 2030. Apparently the two statements are contradictory . it would be beneficial to clarify what the DR potential would be, in case storage</p>		
---------	--	----	-----	---	--	--

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				WH becomes the prevailing application for water heating. As far as electric transportation is concerned we acknowledge that electric cars are outside the scope of a possible eco-design measure. This does not imply that the relevance of electric cars Demand and DR potentials is totally ignored. It would be beneficial if the study includes a chapter identifying the long term perspectives/forecast coherently with the target of reducing GHG emissions by 80% by 2050.		
General		ge	BDE W	The developments in this field are fast paced and not easy to assess beforehand. A potential Ecodesign Regulation in this field should not lead to restrictions of this development.		
General		ge	BDE W	Germany: According to the Federal Ministry for Economy and Energy as well as the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) all communication processes necessary for the described Use Cases need to go via the Smart Meter Gateway and a downstream BSI-conform control box. The respective processes necessary for this have been described by BSI.		
Overall		ge	eu.ba c	The scope seems unclear and we are unsure what the Commission are looking to achieve from this study. While the Task 1 report outlines in more detail what is defined as a smart appliance, in the context of this study it is still not clear what the recommendation would be and how this would be structured under a framework directive like Ecodesign.	It is important to remember that Ecodesign is covering Energy related Products that have an impact on energy consumption during use phase and on wider environmental issues.	
Overall		ge	eu.ba c	One could consider the preparatory study of Lot 33 Smart Appliances as being the draft of a new type of regulation to drive energy flexibility usage, open energy sourcing market and new type of contractual situations between the consumers, the energy utilities and the electricity grid. This should encompass the standardization of energy sourcing contracts, the standardization of DR requests and the technical	However, it is a crucial concern at this stage if Ecodesign is the suitable market mechanism to standardise the data models for smart appliances. Standardisation work in CEN/CENELEC and ETSI, which is referenced in the Task 1 report, is making great progress in defining a top level of standardisation for ensuring systems "speak" the same language	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				solutions in the building equipment to provide this management and flexibility.	and are therefore able to interpret commands effectively. Provided this standardisation work remains open and transparent, and member states contribute effectively, we do not foresee interoperability being a problem for consumers.	
Overall		ge	eu.ba c	<p>The “smart” feature or the DR capability is an energy flexibility feature and not an energy performance feature.</p> <p>„Because of these characteristics, smart appliances potentially have a positive impact on the environmental performance of the energy system by helping accommodating renewables and increasing the energy efficiency of the energy conversion, transmission and distribution.”</p> <p>This may cause a worse energy performance of the device itself to ensure a better global environmental performance.</p> <p>User case 1: A heating system example, the DR signal “Load shifting” would signal the heating appliance to heat the building before the peak period (for which it would be switched off) to insure comfort and result globally to a higher energy consumption (because you need to heat more before to avoid discomfort during peak period). However, as the primary production at the utility avoids starting new plants, this would have potentially a positive environmental macro-effect depending on the plants concerned).</p>	<p>For Building Automation and Control Systems (BACS), this function, called Demand Limiting DL, has been used to adapt to a particular energy sourcing contract.</p> <p>BACS have functions that are adapted to combine the Demand Limiting function with other optimization functions like Duty Cycling DC, or Optimal Start/Stop OSS of equipment.</p>	
Overall		ge	eu.ba c	<p>In non-residential buildings (especially large ones), which have BACS, the need for smart appliances does not exist as the demand response requests can be fulfilled directly by the BACS, which by definition controls each equipment. The facility owner parameterize then the sourcing contract, e.g. the energy price per hour in the control system and defines in the control system the balance between comfort/ maintenance costs and energy</p>	<p>These 2 user cases show that direct DR request to smart appliance in non-residential buildings could have the reverse effect.</p> <p>Therefore, non-residential buildings having the equipment linked to a BACS should be exempted of the smart appliance feature as this function is already fulfilled by the BACS.</p> <p>An alternative to this position would be to consider</p>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>supply costs.</p> <p>User case 2: In a large facility, a lighting appliance could be considered smart because during the day, 50% of the lighting spots could be switched off to reduce electricity consumption during a peak period. But, if we suppose that the building users can manually override the blind management, they could open more blinds which would create higher cooling load in the rooms e.g. an energy consumption overrun in the HVAC system which would be a lot higher than the electricity saved on lighting during the peak period.</p> <p>User case 3: Pumps for HVAC systems are also an electricity load, which could be considered to be managed as smart appliance and for example switched off during a peak period. But switching off some pumps for some circuits during a peak limiting period would have consequences upstream and downstream: Upstream, the chiller or the heat pump could be less energy efficient during the peak period (degrading the ESEER and COP because the load has been reduced) and create after the peak period an inefficient energy consumption when the terminal units will have to consume more energy to get back to the set point parameters. HVAC systems are always more energy efficient in a stable mode than in a start/stop mode. Large buildings are proof for the interaction between the various equipment.</p>	the BACS and at the same time the total building itself as a smart appliance - Smart Building - a kind of macro smart appliance. The type of DR request for such a macro smart appliance would then be strictly the contractual obligation of the parties, the consumer /building user and the energy utility with the management of this obligation as function of the BACS.	
All document	All document	ge	ECO DESI GN	Very long sentences without any punctuation are included in the paragraphs over and over again, making the reading very hard for non-native english speakers, and possibly for native english speakers too.	A professional text reviewer/editor could support in the final drafting of the report(s) in English.	
All document	All document	ge	ECO DESI GN	The sub-sections after third level sections numbered as x.x.x are presented with different formats: at times „->“ is used combined with a bold text for the title, some others are underlined with normal text for the title.	Please harmonize the format in the main document for the sub-sections below the third level sections (x.x.x).	
All document	All document	ge	ECO DESI	„...the Figure below“....	Figures shall be introduced in the text, including their number.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
			GN			
	Even pages		ECO DESI GN	All even pages (2, 4, 6..etc) are shown as „[type text]“, and not the real number.	Include even page numbers, in correct sequence in the main document.	
Table of contents	Page i	ge	ECO DESI GN	Table of contents.	Possibly structure this document in a way that a clear path, a logic is presented to the reader on the sequence of the different topics addressed. Consider also the many subsections that are NOT numbered, possibly giving them also a specific number in the document.	
List of acronyms	p. iv	ge	ECO DESI GN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Missing abbreviations (e.g., AMI)</li> <li>- Wrongly used abbreviations (e.g., CO2 instead of CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>- Repeated abbreviations (e.g., PV).</li> </ul>	Revise document to update the list of acronyms.	
List of acronyms	p iv	ed	CECA PI	Referring to CENELEC TC 205 WG12	Introduce CEM : customer energy manager	
1.1	p 1 para 2	ge	ECO DESI GN	...“Smart appliances are an essential part of a smart electricity grid, which is part of the framework....“	This would be the ideal case, but the penetration of smart appliances at this moment is very low in the EU and the smart grids as such are not yet a reality. The text should be revised to give an indication of a future development, e.g., that (Smart grids) are one goal under the framework strategy for the Energy Union.	
1.1	p 1 para 3	ge	ATG	<p>Smart heating is seen across the study as a high potential application but only in the delay mode (postponing operations till when the peak load has finished. This vision reduces the value of the flexibility provided by storage water heaters that can play a role both in postponement and anticipation of demand, providing highest flexibility.</p> <p>We propose that the potential use case should consider both options not only one.</p>	A potential use case for smart heating is a situation, where a peak electricity load is foreseen the next day, which would have required starting up power generating units at higher costs and/or higher environmental impacts. Instead, a signal is sent from the electricity supply system to a number of heating installations to switch off during a shorter period just before the peak load without significant impact on comfort.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
					<p><b>Smart heating, if coupled with some thermal storage capacity can also play a role when a peak in electricity generation is foreseen, which would have required starting up energy storage units (batteries or pumps) with low efficiency.</b></p> <p><b>Heating units (mainly water heaters) can start operating when there is an excess of supply and release the hot water to the consumer at his/her best convenience.</b></p>	
1.1	p 1 para 3	ge	NVE	It is also relevant to focus on the case where a distribution company shall decide to reinforce the grid due to new loads like PV, EV or electric heat pumps. In this case DR might be an economical beneficial solution compared to reinforcing the grid.	<i>Smart appliances are appliances or groups of appliances which can respond to external signals (also called Demand Response, DR) and modify their energy consumption patterns in order to optimise the balance between energy <b>supply-demand and/or grid congestion.</b></i>	
1.1	p 1 para 5	te	ECO DESI GN	..“There are many developments at the consumer side that support the introduction of demand response and smart appliances: Rollout of smart meters with electricity.....“	Smart meter roll-out are not developments at consumer side, but deployments organized (mandated) by the governments of Member States in response to specific EU Directives (Internal market and efficiency directives)	
1.1	pp 1-2	ge	CLAS P	CLASP suggests that it would be beneficial to further develop this chapter to cover several aspects: - Objectives of the study: it is noted that this study differs from the typical ecodesign study but without spelling-out what the objectives of this study would be. Is the study attempting to: (1) present a SWOT analysis of smart appliances (in which case the goal(s) of smart appliances should first be defined); (2) suggest how smartness of appliances should be dealt with in ecodesign and labelling measures (in which case the environmental impacts of various scenarios should be quantified and the benefits and drawback for each category of stakeholders should be considered); or (3) aim at identifying the gaps and	Please include the objectives of the study.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>needs for a broad deployment of smart appliances (in which case the approach would be absolutely different from the typical ecodesign preparatory study)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Expected structure of the report: it is mentioned that „the MEErP approach and the calculation tools will fit well for some aspects, less for others“ but without clarifying what the differences and alternative approaches are expected to be. An overview given from a draft complete Table of Contents for example would have facilitated our review of this first Task and the provision of relevant comments.</li> <li>- Energy network: in order to understand the potential benefits of smart appliances and Demand Response (DR) in a more concrete way, it would be beneficial to have more background on the European energy network(s). Only by understanding the weaknesses (e.g., magnitude and impacts of the peak loading incidents) and the actions already in place to mitigate those effects can readers more fully engage. Further, information on policy plans such as evolution towards a more integrated EU grid and more decentralised generation should be discussed. With that information, stakeholders can more effectively comment on the relevance and potential options for a deployment of smart appliances.</li> </ul>	<p>Please clarify the methodologies that will be used and include a table of contents.</p> <p>Please include a description of the current state and policy plans of the European energy network.</p>	
1.1	p 2		RWE	<p>The description of the effects of “Demand Response” underestimates the interactions between market-, systems- and grid-serving flexibility. With our EU project FP7 “ADVANCED” we showed that flexibility or smartness has little effect if the expansion scenario is based on local “bottlenecks” caused by an increase of (D)RES. However, flexibility can be appropriate to help integrating RES throughout the system. But this is another dimension of the problem, it also concerns “on grid switching” using different logics as the “off grid</p>		

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				switching” being in the middle of the considerations on page 2.		
1.1	p 2 line 5	ge	CECA PI	Add another possible architecture for smart appliances	Introduce as forth bullet point : „ an introduction of a customer energy manager (CEM) optimizing locally the energy usage to the local needs/comfort/performance, ensuring in a secured way the exchange for DR with the grid“	
1.1	p 2	ge	ECOS	It is stated that the MEErP methodology will not fit all aspects of this lot and that a “horizontal approach” will be used. We believe that more details on these methodological choices are needed (i.e. which MEErP aspects will be followed, adapted or substituted and how) together with a detailed assessment of repercussions, especially when calculating the environmental benefits in this study.	We invite the study team to provide a substantiated overview of the method to be applied and potential differences from MEErP methodology. Repercussions should be detailed, especially on the calculation of the environmental benefits.	
1.2	p 2 para 1, line 7	ge	ECO DESI GN	..“have not been not designed...”	Have not been designed to...	
1.2	p 2 para 1	ge	ECO DESI GN	Demand response (DR)	Demand Response (DR) -> use consistently over the whole text.	
1.2	p 2 para 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	What is it meant with „Smart capabilities“?	DR functionality	
1.2	p 2 para 1		ATG	The study correctly identifies in increasing RES and growth of electrification of heating and transport two main reasons for developing smart grids and DR. However the figures presented in the study to assess the potential of the various applications apparently do not take into	The European electricity system is quickly evolving. Although there a large national differences, there is a tendency of decreasing classical centralized power plants with controllable production power and increasing intermittent	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>account that the growth of electricity demand for heating will entail an increase of DR flexibility potential.</p> <p>It is proposed to review the figures in the following chapters to take into account the long term transformation of the demand. As an alternative we propose to add a foot note to explain the terms of reference of the following analysis.</p>	electricity production from Renewable Energy Sources (RES), combined with growing electrification of heating and transport.	
1.2	p 2 para 2 (bullet list)	ge	Daikin	We propose to also consider other energy sources instead of only electricity. This would be in line with the transition from 'smart grid' to 'smart energy grid'.	Change 'electricity consumption pattern' into 'energy consumption pattern'.	
1.2	p 2 para 2 bullet 5	te	Daikin	For remote control safety, we support that smart capabilities do not need to be activated as default setting. However, more aspects of remote control safety should be considered in order to realize demand control.	<p>Following issues should be discussed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. system design considering the uncertainty of communication.</li> <li>2. risk assessment of remote control with unexpected turn on/off of appliance.</li> <li>3. who is allowed to overwrite the software of the appliance?</li> </ol>	
1.2	p 2 para 2	ge	ECOS	It is unclear how the characteristics of a "smart appliance" have been defined. What is the basis for the selection of the listed criteria?	Describe the rationale and literature behind the smart appliances definition to ensure transparency	
1.2	p 2 para 2 bullet 1	ge	NVE	It is relevant to discuss other smart appliances and their potential and limitations. Smart appliances should therefore have a broader definition. The regulatory borders is a different matter and should be addressed in Task 7 Scenarios report	Remove bullet 1: <b><i>It is an appliance as defined and within the scope of the Ecodesign and Energy labelling framework</i></b>	
1.2	p 2 para 2 bullet 2	ge	NVE	Appliances with significant power consumption should be included even if they are not like to be controlled	<b><i>It is an appliance that is able to automatically respond to external stimuli such as price information, direct control signals, and power line quality (mainly voltage and frequency) or a high power appliance (&gt; 2 kW?) that requests capacity and reports operational data;</i></b>	

1 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 SH = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.2	p 2 para 2 bullet 3	ge	NVE	See comment above	<i>The response should result in a change of the appliance's <b>or group of appliances</b> electricity consumption pattern;</i>	
1.2	p 2 para 2	te	eu.ba c	If signals are given real time only the local smartness cannot decide of proper actions and the overall efficiency would suffer.	New bullet point: To give a local „intelligence“ a chance, the steering signal (e.g. pricing signals) will need to be ready for at least a 24 h period in advance.	
1.2	p 2 para 5	ge	CECE D	The characteristics enumerated in the bullet points of this paragraph refer to the definition of a smart appliance. The ecodesign and energy labelling framework refers to the scope of application of that definition. Since the reference to the ecodesign and energy labelling framework does not define a characteristic of the smart appliance, it should not be listed in the bullet points but in the introductory sentence. We suggest hereby a clearer formulation.	<del>In the framework of this study</del> <b>Under the Ecodesign and Energy labelling framework</b> , a smart appliance is defined by means of the following characteristics: <del>— It is an appliance as defined within the scope of the Ecodesign and energy labelling framework</del> - It is an appliance that is able to (...) - The response should (...)	
1.2	p 2 para 5	ge	CECE D	A generic approach is more appropriate for the first bullet point, focusing on the aim of smart appliances: providing demand response and demand side management.	- It is an appliance that is able to automatically respond to external stimuli such as <del>price information, direct control signals, and power line quality (mainly voltage and frequency);</del> <b>demand response (supporting flexibility) or demand side management (adaptation of load).</b>	
1.2	p 2 para 5	ge	CECE D	Additional bullet point to add an important distinction between a reactive appliance (one only capable of frequency control) and a smart appliance: A reactive appliance is not a smart appliance as it is not able to process the external signal, notably through a CEM. Thus a reactive appliance cannot take into account consumer's settings, the needs at system level or combining the external signal with the consumption of other appliances in the house, it can only automatically react to the external signal.	- <b><u>Smart appliances shall be distinguished from reactive appliances</u></b>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.2	p 2 para 5	ge	CECED	The ability of a smart capability to function all over the EU does not refer to a characteristic of products (smart appliances in this study) but to the regulation that will be targetting that category of products. This bullet point does not fit in the section dedicated to the definition of the characteristics of the products that will be under the scope of the preparatory study.	<del>The smart capability must be able to function all over the EU</del>	
1.2	p 2 para 2 p 3 para 4	ge	Daikin	The proposed scope creates confusion. On the one hand, reference is made to the scope of Ecodesign and Energy labelling framework. On the other hand, large-scale industry applications are excluded. However, Lot 21 includes chillers and process chillers upto 2MW.	Clarify the boundary of the scope of Lot 33.	
1.2	pp 2-3	ge	EHI	EHI understands that the scope of Lot 33 comprises those appliances with electrical consumption that are able to provide an immediate demand response when receiving a respective communication signal. While some of the products covered by EHI (boilers for gas, oil or solid fuel) are using electricity only for auxiliary purposes, there are also heating solutions which use electricity for their primary functions. Many of them do have potential to contribute to the implementation of a broad demand response approach.	<i>1.2 This study is focused on appliances which have an immediate demand response capability after receiving a communication signal.</i>	
1.2	pp 2-3	ge	UBA	It would be helpful if the authors give a more detailed explanation for their choice of definition. Furthermore we propose to assess in how fare functions that facilitate energy saving user behaviour could also be included.		
1.2	pp 2-3	ge	CLASP	As mentionned in 1.1, the lot "Smart appliances" differs from the typical ecodesign product group in that „smart appliances can be very different products that just have one functionality in common“. Technically speaking, this functionality can be defined as in 1.1: „Smart appliances are appliances which can respond to external signals (also called Demand Response, DR) and modify their energy consumption patterns in order to optimise the balance between energy supply and energy demand“.	Define smart appliances in terms of their function for different stakeholders (including EU policy makers) in order to assess each policy option with regard to these functions. Identify and discuss other demand side management options and compare their impact to the deployment of smart appliances.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>The typical ecodesign measure aims at improving the efficiency of a type of appliance, i.e. lowering the energy consumption for a same service. But the above description of the functionality doesn't say much about the service that is offered by a smart appliance. For the study to be meaningful in terms of policy strategy it would be necessary to define what is expected from smart appliances and by whom. Clarifying this point may have an impact on the objectives of the study.</p> <p>Defining the functions of smart appliances would also make it possible to analyse in which conditions smart appliances should best be used to fulfill these functions. Other demand side management (DSM) options could also be assessed and compared to the deployment of smart appliances, e.g., targeting of large energy consumers or tariff schemes like EDF's „Tempo“ where energy is significantly more expensive on peak days and consumers get warned the day before on their mobile or smart phone (<a href="https://particulier.edf.fr/fr/accueil/facture-etcontrat/contrat/consulter-les-jours-ejp-et-tempo/option-tempo/lacouleur-du-jour.html">https://particulier.edf.fr/fr/accueil/facture-etcontrat/contrat/consulter-les-jours-ejp-et-tempo/option-tempo/lacouleur-du-jour.html</a>).</p>		
1.2	p 3 para 2, line 3	ed	ECO DESI GN	...“The analysis will be more in-depth for the appliances with <u>significant potential</u> “.	What is meant here with significant potential? Please clarify, is it energy savings, is it load shifting, it is other functionalities?	
1.2 1.3.1	p 3 para 4	ge	ECOS	<p>We wonder what the rationale behind the exclusion of “large scale industry applications” is. The study team does not provide any analysis of the consequences of such a decision. This is even more surprising knowing that the discussions in the EC Smart Grid Task Force groups have repeatedly stressed the need to include industrial and commercial customers in the DSR schemes.</p> <p>Moreover, during the Ecodesign Consultation Forum on compressors, ECOS asked the Commission to assess the feasibility of setting Ecodesign</p>	Keep large scale industry application in the scope of the study	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				requirements for the inclusion of System Frequency Controls on Compressors. The work starting on smart appliances was referred to as an answer, and no measure was taken.		
1.2	p 3	te	eu.ba c	... Communication and control architectures  Standards regarding the interoperability (thus not test standards as such) ...	Take this out this is already being defined in the committee IEC TC 57/WG 21 and WG 17, There is no need to spend money on that.	
1.2	p 8	ge	JBCE	Please list up the examples of domestic appliances and commercial / industrial appliances.		
1.2		te	eu.ba c	All services are geared toward electricity.	...combined with growing electrification of heating and electrification of building services.	
1.2		te	eu.ba c	Most contracts and load shedding (Rundsteuerung) are not at all geared towards a „prosumer“.	...consumption and production, including contracts and services.	
1.2		te	eu.ba c	Specific technical smart capabilities do not need to be activated when the product is placed on the market; the activation can be done at a later point of time by the consumer or a service provider. It is essential that to current standardization efforts in IEC/TC 57 work towards a level of „smartness“ that the smarter the less direct control signals are received“.	A smart device can be a s well an entire BACS that works intelligent (smart) from e.g. price signals and a strategy to optimize e.g. towards „max. use of own production“.	
1.2		te	eu.ba c	Missing information on potential smart feedback from a BACS component. Imagine that a contract takes into account that information as a discount measure on the price if a smart controller would be very accurate.	A BACS component that gets triggers with a 24 h in advance pricing signal could – if implemented – give back an approximate demand curve that helps the grid to plan – as a service	
1.3.1	para 4	te	ANEC /BEU C1	New technologies like smart meters, smart appliances and home automation in general may offer a large choice of products and services as well as more information to consumers, yet the benefits for them are not guaranteed. It all depends on how these new technologies will be implemented and how they will be used by them. We consider very important that the study team takes into consideration the following consumer relevant aspects when assessing the potential and impacts of smart functions on the		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>different product categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Impact on product lifetime and ability to repair. Durability and safety may be compromised through the high number of switch-off/switch-ons. Additionally, the reparability of the product might be affected as more complex technology such as electronics becomes part of the product design. We invite the study team to refer to the ongoing study on socioeconomic impacts of increased reparability that is commissioned by DG Environment.</li> <li>- Upgradability of the appliance is a very important aspect affecting product lifetime. In case the appliance cannot accomodate software updates the product lifetime decreases.</li> <li>- Interoperability of the smart appliance with other smart appliances and other elements in the Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) such as smart phones and the smart metering architecture (Customer Energy Manager (CEM), Home energy management).</li> <li>- Product design that offers privacy protection and privacy enhancing settings as default (<i>privacy by design and by default</i>) must be ensured both for the smart appliance as well as the connection / communication channel between the smart appliance and other connected devices mentioned above. Compliance with the data protection framework and effective enforcement must be ensured and the customer must have the right to access and control all the data generated by the smart meter and other smart devices at home. Each party requesting the data should provide justification why the data is needed and the data</li> </ul>		

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general    **te** = technical    **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>should not be used for purposes which are incompatible with those that justify its collection.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Regarding user comfort and impact, the appliance should be easy to operate for all consumer groups including the elderly, people with disabilities, etc. A plug-and-play approach can be a good solution.</li> <li>- Presentation of information: The display should provide understandable and consumer relevant information such as for example on energy consumption. It would be convenient for consumers if information is also provided via the central home management to the in-home display. The smart appliance should therefore support the communication of relevant information to the consumer interface, i.e. an in-home display or energy management gateway.</li> <li>- Potential health and safety risks should also be assessed by the study team including risks from increased exposure to radio frequency emissions which could have detrimental health impacts especially for the elderly, children and people with electromagnetic hyper sensitivity.</li> <li>- Financial benefits and costs: The (financial) benefits from using smart appliance should outweigh the costs related to the purchase, installation and –if needed- upgrade of the smart appliance. Consumers should be provided with clear information and should be adequately rewarded for their flexibility. Product lifetime must be part of the cost consideration.</li> </ul>		
1.3.1	para 4	te	ANEC /BEU	<p>Display:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- If the smart appliance has a display, then it should be a simple yet visually appealing display. The</li> </ul>		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)								
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted								
			C	<p>display should have an accessible and ergonomic design as suggested by studies (<a href="#">Consumer Focus, Smart meter in-home display design, 2012</a> <a href="#">DIN Consumer Council, Study on usability and ergonomics of smart meters, 2011</a>). In its most basic, default condition the display must be readable by the consumer at a moderate distance (e.g. 1 meter).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Also features for people with a disability should be foreseen. For instance, blind and visually impaired persons can only use the smart appliance if it has a speech/audio function or can connect to one.</li> </ul>										
1.3/ 1.3.1	p 3 para 2		ECO DESI GN	This section mentions „the most <u>relevant</u> ones“ and „ <u>relevant</u> appliances“: relevant for what?	Please clarify.									
1.3.1	p 3 para 2	ge	NVE	In addition to the sentence “...and concepts for smart appliances can be applied to all other relevant appliances.” it should be mentioned that these appliance can also be appliances outside the scope of the present study e.g. PV or EV.	Proposed additional text: <b>Such appliances can be PV's or EV's that are not within the scope of the study but are relevant in a holistic approach to demand response and smart grid</b>									
1.3.1	p 3 para 3	te	NVE	Would it be possible to make a simple definition of <i>shifting potential</i> (as was done for <i>demand response</i> in section 1.2.) in order to facilitate the understanding of this term throughout the report	It might be as simple as: “Shifting potential is the potential to increase/reduce the power uptake for a certain period for a certain appliance or appliance group”. Please, develop further.....									
1.3.1	p 3 para 3		RWE	A viable definition of “energy shifting potentials” is missing.										
1.3.1	p 3 para 4, bullet point 3	te/ed	NVE	Even though a straight forward comparison of <i>shifting potential</i> cannot be made for the different product categories it might be possible to present the data (numbers) in a more clear format e.g. a table form in order the reader can understand the size of the potential	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Appliance group</td> <td>sfgsfgsdfgsdfg</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Shifting potential</td> <td>Xx GWh</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period (per cycle, per day, per year etc.)</td> <td>per day</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Season (Winter, Spring/Autumn,</td> <td>All year</td> </tr> </table>	Appliance group	sfgsfgsdfgsdfg	Shifting potential	Xx GWh	Period (per cycle, per day, per year etc.)	per day	Season (Winter, Spring/Autumn,	All year	
Appliance group	sfgsfgsdfgsdfg													
Shifting potential	Xx GWh													
Period (per cycle, per day, per year etc.)	per day													
Season (Winter, Spring/Autumn,	All year													

1 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 SH = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)		(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder		Consortium observations on each comment submitted
					Summer, All year)		
					Reference countries	EU28 + NO + CH	
					Reference year	2010	
					Methodology elements (technical, economical, behavioural)	Technical + economical + behavioural	
1.3.1	p 3 para 4	te	eu.ba c	Energy shifting - Both functions might apply - depending on the situation	Energy shifting and peak demand shifting		
1.3.1	p 4 para 2	ge	EHI	Heating appliances are not periodical appliances nor are they continuous appliances, because the heating season is limited to about 7 of 12 months depending on the region within Europe. Because heat generation and distribution is a rather slow process as a matter of thermal capacity of heat transmitters and the building, interruption or shifting of operation is possible. This also applies to circulator pumps.			
1.3.1	p 4 para 2	ge	EPEE	In 1.2, page 3 para 4 it is stated that focus is [...] also on appliances used in commercial sectors (e.g. commercial refrigeration appliances).	Include commercial refrigeration under the list of HVAC equipment		
1.3.1	p 4 par 2		ATG	<p>Correctly water heaters are listed within household appliances, as continuous. This is the main profile However it should be reminded that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- in some Member States electric storage water heaters do not operate continuously but rather during the night period, with a periodical profile.</li> <li>- Electric instantaneous water heaters cannot be listed as continuous, as their operations depend on consumer choice to tap water.</li> </ul> <p>The performance flexibility of storage WH is common with deep freezers (however deep freezers are usually operated continuously) and is of the highest relevance in terms of DR .</p>	<p>Household appliances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Periodical appliances: Dishwashers, washing machines, tumble dryers and washer dryers;</li> <li>- Continuous appliances: Refrigerators, <b>instantaneous WH</b>;</li> <li>- <b>F</b>reezers and <b>storage</b> water heaters;</li> <li>- Behavioral appliances: Electrical hobs, ovens, hoods and vacuum cleaners;</li> </ul>		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				Instantaneous WH should be listed as „periodical“ and could be assimilated to AirCo. These characteristics should be reflected in the text by splitting the continuous category in two sub categories or at least by adding a foot note		
1.3.2		ge	CECED	CECED asks for information and clarity on the origin of the figures used as reference for setting the scope of the study. Indeed, several inaccuracies were pointed out by CECED members.		
1.3.2	p 4	ed	CLASP	Many statements are made in the text without proper references to the sources to substantiate these estimates.	Please add references.	
1.3.2	p 4 para 5 Periodical appliances Numbered list	ge	Daikin	Start time delay controlled by user can be ‘smart’ because it may demonstrate smart behaviour induced by for example smart tariffs.	Consider start time delay as ‘smart’.	
1.3.2	pp 4-5	ge	CECED	Lack of supporting data or information on the origin of the analysis	Appliances in this category offer a high energy shifting capacity and a limited power modulation capacity. Studies indicate that around 30% of the configurations of washing machines and tumble dryers can be with remote activation. For dishwashers this is 56%. Depending on the study, the average length of the time window for remote activation varies from 3 to 8h	
1.3.2	pp 4-11	ge	Daikin	Estimates of future energy saving potentials of appliances should be benchmarked towards EPBD directive (nZEB). Since the implementation of EPBD will lead to buildings with lower energy consumption, the DR potential of future buildings will be lower.		
1.3.2	p 5 para 2 line 1	ed	ECODSIGN	The term „Flexibility“ is introduced for the first time, without explaining what the term means in the context of this report.	Clarify the term „Flexibility“	
1.3.2	p 5 para 2		RWE	According to our experiences (e.g. Project E-DeMa) consumers still raise concerns about external “steering” of their smart appliances.	1.3.2	p 5 para 2

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.3.2	p 5 para 3	ge	CECED	Lack of supporting data or information on the origin of the analysis	It is estimated that about 20 % of dishwashers, 10 % of washing machines and 30 % of tumble dryers may be operated in altered consumption pattern mode	
1.3.2	p 5 para 4 Continuous appliances: last para	ge	Daikin	'Consumer's acceptance is assumed to be rather high if food safety and quality is not compromised and if there is no loss of comfort.' However, who is responsible in case the food safety and quality is compromised or in case of loss of comfort. General principle: liability should be considered clearly throughout the whole study.		
1.3.2	p 5 para 6	te	CECAPI	The figures cannot be precise, so don't mention them with 3 significative numbers	Replace the numbers by: An energy shifting potential of washing machines of about <b>5</b> GWh was calculated. For trumble dyers, it is between <b>3</b> and <b>10</b> GWh and for dishwaters, it amounts to <b>8</b> GWh	
1.3.2	p 5 para 6	ge	CLASP	By taking into account all households in Europe, an energy shifting potential of washing machines of about 4.86 GWh was calculated. For tumble dryers, it is between 3.03 and 9.47 GWh and for dishwashers, it amounts to 8.17 GWh.  These figures don't give a clear idea of what it means in terms of management of the peaks. Would be good to have figures in terms of power shift but also – as mentioned above – a background section describing the needs of the network, and ideally some information on how the potential DRM improvement geographically match the stress on the network.	Please add peak capacity (MW) impacts of smart appliance measures to better quantify the benefits.	
1.3.2	p 5 para 7	te	BDEW	Continuous household appliances: Refrigerators, freezers and water heaters	Continuous household appliances: Refrigerators, freezers and water heaters <b>(except instantaneous water heaters)</b>	
1.3.2	p 5 para 10		ATG	As mentioned previously the flexibility of fridges, freezers and water heaters cannot be reduced to a single pattern. It is proposed to highlight the dependence from appliance	For appliances in this category, flexibility <b>depends on the thermal storage capacity. In first instance it may be considered as</b> evenly	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>storage capacity.</p> <p>It is unclear on which basis high seasonality of WH is determined. In Winter the water temperature gap is higher but in summer the overall water consumption is higher. The two effects compensate each other and reduce the seasonality of the WH load profile.</p>	<p>distributed throughout the day and throughout the week. Whereas for refrigerators and freezers, seasonal effects are only weak, <u>water heater loads are highly seasonal with highest potential occurring in winter.</u></p>	
1.3.2	p 5	te	eu.ba c	<p>The part depending on the appliance/application is missing.</p>	<p>Depending on the application/appliance a delay can INCREASE its consumption (e.g. washing machine – knitting) however if local production is optimized and stored an application might loose up to 3.8% of its capacity. The overall efficiency might shrink!</p>	
1.3.2	p 6 para 4 point 2	te	CLAS P	<p>„Remote activation: the user selected program is remotely activated before the user deadline is reached”</p> <p>This option could be unsafe for ovens and hobs, and is unlikely to be applied to hoods and vacuum cleaners.</p>	<p>Please clarify which appliances the options will apply to (e.g., create an appliance – option matrix?).</p>	
1.3.2	p 6 para 7	ge	CECE D	<p>Lack of supporting data or information on the origin of the analysis</p>	<p>Concerning hobs and ovens, it can be assumed that short term interruptions of heating phases or prolongation of the interval between two heating phases by seconds or minutes will not compromise the cooking process and consequently the performance. Nevertheless, the consumer’s acceptance is supposed to be low.”</p>	
1.3.2	p 6	te	EHI	<p>Hybrid heating systems are growing in the market as a consequence of measures taken by the Energy Related Products Directive. Nevertheless these systems have a good growth potential which may be further encouraged by suitable legislative measures. Once in place, they allow for switching between two heat generating sources (heat pump and non-electric boiler). The switching potential is high. We would like to emphasize that for these systems there is no shortcoming for the user as long as changing the heat source follows a least cost approach. If that can be implemented into the overall concept, no user</p>	<p><i>‘Heating (electrical and hybrid)’</i></p>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				acceptance restriction would have to be expected.		
1.3.2	p 7 para 1	ge	EPEE	During peak times should be added. Shifting to gas or fuel will just lead to higher CO2 emissions.	Change bullet point to: 'Energy source shift during peak times for hybrid gas or fuel electric heat pumps...'	
1.3.2	p 7 para 2	te	Daikin	The advantages and limitations of the use of a smart thermostat for DR control of a heat pump are not clear from the report. To our knowledge, today, smart thermostats cannot realize DR on heat pumps in a modulated way. The current potential for heat pump control is only on/off. Moreover, it should be noted that the use of temperature shift for DR is far from optimal to guarantee a result on energy consumption.	Clarify the current possibilities and limitations of the use of a smart thermostat for the modulated control of heat pumps.	
1.3.2	p7 para 2 line 3	te	ECO DESI GN	Smart thermostats (e.g., Nest, Tado) are separate equipment for monitoring and controlling traditional boilers, electric radiators, and heat pumps. As such these heating devices are not „smart appliances“ themselves, so the DR potential discussed here is questionable: it is not intrinsic to these appliances as such, it is enabled through a separate device, namely the thermostat.	Revise this text.	
1.3.2	p 7 para 2		EHI	Stand-alone heat pumps include for example air to air types, for which load reduction or load shifting will immediately be recognized by the user, because there is no balancing thermal capacity in the direct path of heat transmission.	Insert new paragraph: <i>Electrical heat pumps allow for load reduction or load shifting unless the user comfort gets compromised.</i>	
1.3.2	p 7 para 2	ge	EPEE	To reduce the power consumption is the main purpose, and the temperature control is just only one of the item to reduce the power consumption,		
1.3.2	p 7 para 2	te	eu.ba c	Residential heating controls (NEST & TADO)	Refer to generic control functions covered under smart heating controls	
1.3.2	p 7 para 4	ed	ECO	„ <u>comfort degradation</u> “	The word degradation might not be needed.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
	line 6		DESIGN		Please revise whole sentence.	
1.3.2	p 7 para 5 (just before „ventilation“)	te	CECAPI	Note explaining that this active energy management is even more efficient in tertiary building than in residential	Introduce Note = the estimated potential is by far higher than for any other type of smart appliance. It requires a good coordination between the different thermostats and with the other appliances to get the best in efficiency. Such an active energy management will optimize locally and present a single interface to the DR. This is even more true for tertiary building, with an even bigger potential (in savings and in peak shavings)	
1.3.2	p 7 para 5	ed	ECODESIGN	Is this explanation about comfort, with this level of detail needed here?	Please consider deleting this paragraph.	
1.3.2	p 7 para 5	te	eu.bac	Heat pre-charging – it eventually needs more energy (kWh) in order to fulfil its demand.	Pre-charging is exactly what's mentioned in the preceding paragraph.	
1.3.2	p 7 last paragraph	ed	ECODESIGN	<u>Best available technologies</u> include....	With respect to what?	
1.3.2	p 7	ge	EHI	Beyond the approach of load reduction which tries to avoid load peaks there is also the option of making use of supply peaks e.g. from PV contribution and storing this energy for later use. This idea seems to be missing from concepts that focus on shifting, reducing or shedding of loads. The Power to Heat approach would be suitable to absorb supply peaks. For example systems with a storage tank which feature an electrical heating device in addition to hot water provided by a conventional system based on fossil fuel and allow the use electricity as an alternative to heat the fluid in the storage tank. This system can also operate with great flexibility within the boundary of the storage capacity built into the system. An approach rewarding the user could also determine the	New bullet after “Energy Source shift for hybrid...” • Power to Heat concepts to absorb power supply peaks can for example be achieved by electrical heaters inside storage tanks of hydraulic heating systems. Switching to electrical heating has no restrictions for the user.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				user's decision about the sizing of the storage capacity.		
1.3.2	p 8 para 9, Air conditioning	ge	Daikin	The definition of an air conditioner and a heat pump used in the report corresponds to the general interpretation of Ecodesign. However, from a technical point of view an air conditioner is in many cases based on heat pump technology. That is why we propose to clearly define an air conditioner and a heat pump in the report.	Clearly define air conditioner and heat pump in the report.	
1.3.2	p 8 para 10	ge	Daikin	It should be noted that most of the air conditioners can be equipped with demand response capability by adding a network adaptor or a centralized controller. Lot 33 should request such a capability of adding a centralized controller or network adaptor as an interface to a listed protocol.	The appliance which has the capability of adding a centralized controller or network adaptor as an interface to a listed protocol is regarded as being Lot 33 compatible. This appliance should have Lot 33 requested function.  Suggestion 1: mandatory issue could be simple, similar to the once mentioned in the Australian standard (AS4755).  Suggestion 2: the level of demand response capability could be described based on supported functionalities like in (draft of) ISO/IEC TS62950 (Section 5).	
1.3.2	p 8	ge	EPEE	In 1.2, page 3 para 4 it is stated that focus is [...] also on appliances used in commercial sectors (e.g. commercial refrigeration appliances).	Include sub-chapter on commercial refrigeration	
1.3.2	p 9 para 2	ge	CECED	Lack of supporting data or information on the origin of the analysis	It is believed that about 15% of all electric cooling appliances are equipped with the communication and control functionality to support demand response.	
1.3.2	p 9 para 2	te	CECAPI	The communication can be direct through internet, but can also be indirect through a Customer Energy Manager (CEM)	Delete internet in the sentence: "...They could also provide <del>internet</del> communication..."	
1.3.2	p 9 para 2	ge	Daikin	Limiting factor is not only user comfort. It is sometimes related to human health, e.g. in hospital.		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.3.2	p 9 para 2	ge	EPEE	To reduce the power consumption is the main purpose, and the temperature control is just only one of the item to reduce the power consumption,		
1.3.2	p 9 para 2	ge	EPEE	The shifting potential is not only dependent on user comfort but also on other technical demands.	Potential reduction in user comfort is not the main limiting factor as there are other technical demands in the case of server rooms, laboratories or commercial buildings for instance.	
1.3.2	p 9 para 3	ed	CLAS P	“Peak power: Up to about 200 GW (2010) but probably not more than 160 GW even in case of extreme events.” This sentence is unclear.	Please clarify which of the two figures should be retained.	
1.3.2	p 9 para 5	te	CLAS P	„The latter need extra (physical) adaptations, which in the light of the ‘low’ selling prices of these appliances, could be relative expensive.“ Could a „smart power strip“ be considered?		
1.3.2	p 9 para 5 line 3	ed	ECO DESIGN	Network <u>facilities</u> -> what does this mean?	Functionalities?	
1.3.2	p 9 para 6, 7 and 8	ed	ECO DESIGN	Poorly written paragraphs	Please consider reviewing these texts.	
1.3.2	p 9 para 8	te	Daikin	The current advantages and limitations of the use of a smart thermostat for DR control of a heat pump are not clear from the report. To our knowledge, today, smart thermostats are not able to make modulated DR for air conditioning systems.  The use of a smart thermostat for DR control of a heat pump could be listed as a ‘Best Not Available Technologies’.	Clarify the current possibilities and limitations of the use of a smart thermostat for the modulated control of air conditioning.	
1.3.2	p 9 last para	te	eu.ba c	Large battery storage systems	These units could be controlled through a BACS logic that optimizes along a given strategy (“kWh, €, CO2...”) and this leads to switching.	
1.3.2	p 10 para 3	te	eu.ba	The potential of both systems is very limited at this moment - unclear conclusion.	A “prosumer concept” strategy does not necessarily need storage for 24 h)	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
			c			
1.3.2	p 10 para 5		ATG	We acknowledge that transport is not part of the ecodesign and label directives. However battery charging systems for electric vehicles are relevant whenever we consider the evolution of Demand and the potential of Demand Response ( ref. Page 2 paragr. 1	Because transport is not part of the ecodesign and energy labeling framework directives, battery charging systems for electric vehicles can not be included in implementing measures. <b>However it should be brought in mind that the expansion of electric transport will entail a modification of the load curve, especially in the night period</b>	
1.3.2	p 10 para 8	te	CECA PI	Not only LED and tungsten light can be dimmed, and the lighting efficiency is not reduced. Dimming brings value in comfort, allow energy savings and can increase the lifetime of the lights.	Delete „Mainly LED and tungsten light systems can be dimmed and mostly with reduction in lighting efficiency“ to be replaced by „Many light technologies can be dimmed (tungsten , halogen, fluorescent, LED...), bringing value in comfort and potentially energy savings by delivering the just enough light needed, while increasing life time.“	
1.3.2	p 10, p 35 and following	ge	ENEA	„Street lighting is typically highly controlled and professional procured, and only few savings would be possible to achieve with more information feedback.“. Lacking info on street lighting	The energy saving potential for street lighting related to adaptive lighting should be investigated and added.	
1.3.2	p 11 para 1	te	eu.bac	Single appliance is a sub-optimal structure and suitable for easy and straight decisions. In the case of more equipment, complex relations among equipment (appliances) occur which leads to a different conclusion. We recommend to segregate among the 2 basic cases and not extrapolate from one to the other.	The conclusion should differentiate among “single appliance” behaviour or BACS strategic behaviour.	
1.3.2	p 11 para 1 and 2	ed	ECO DESIGN	Poorly written paragraphs	Please consider reviewing these texts.	
1.3.2	p 11 para 5	te	CLASP	Beyond comfort issues it would seem that dimming or switching off light sources could create safety issues. These are not mentioned in the draft report.	Please discuss how safety issues are being taken into account.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.3.2	p 11 para 6 1st bullet point		ATG	Only storage water heaters/boilers//heat pumps can provide high flexibility with no or few performance impact.	High flexibility potential with few comfort and/or performance impacts: Dishwashers, washing machines, washer dryers, <b>storage</b> water heaters, radiators, boilers, heat pumps, circulators, residential and non-residential air conditioners and battery storage systems;	
1.3.2	p 11 para 6 1st bullet point	te	BDE W	High flexibility potential with few comfort and/or performance impacts: Dishwashers, washing machines, washer dryers, water heaters ( <b>except instantaneous water heaters</b> ), ...		
1.3.2	p 11 para 7	ge	Daikin	Air conditioning is categorized as with high flexibility potential and few comfort impact like washing machines which is not correct. The impact of the heat waves was dramatic some years ago.	Suggestion: Assess accurately impact of air conditioning on comfort. Assess safety aspect of heatwave.	
1.3.2		te	eu.ba c	The bullet list only mentions use cases where the supplier own the optimization module. The optimization is kept towards energy or peak limiting, however the most major methodology to drive consumption is a variable price.	In case of a BACS knowing the upcoming schedule of the building, the climate conditions and the status of storage units and having a 24h / 15 Min price signal, the optimization of the operation among that intended schedule	
1.3.3	p 12 para 3		ATG	This statement is correct from a technical point of view, but it assumes that there is no need of feedback from a market standpoint. This is not correct in principle	This type of demand response differentiates itself, as no communication is required from the smart appliance to the outside world, <b>from a purely technical standpoint</b> .	
1.3.3	p 12 para 3, line 5	ed	ECO DESIGN	Safe for the system frequency, these are typically....	Unclear sentence, please revise this text.	
1.3.3	p12 para 4/5		ATG	The assessment of advantages and disadvantages is biased. The fact that extra communication links are redundant depends on the business model that is applied. But the fact that the appliance cannot participate to other DR schemes does not diminish its value on a DR market. Therefore the presence of absence of an extra communication link does not influence the value of the FC	System frequency control based on smart appliances with local measurements has a number of advantages and disadvantages. The strongest advantage is that extra communication links are <b>technically</b> redundant. <del>Costs are avoided</del> , <del>No</del> privacy issues emerge and uptake is not hindered for people who lack affinity with networked	

1 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 SH = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				on the service market. We propose to cancel the reference to the costs as we should assess the value of the service	technology. On the other hand, the appliance must be equipped with extra measurements. They also cannot participate to other DR schemes, unless extra communications are established., <del>which forfeits a lot of the advantages.</del> <b>In case no extra communication link is made available, an additional</b> A distinct difficulty <del>due to the lack of a communication channel,</del> is that the DR contribution of the appliance cannot be easily measured, nor verified. As such, simple and transparent compensation mechanisms are hard to establish. Nevertheless, because of the many advantages of frequency control based on local measurements, system frequency control remains a viable DR option.	
1.3.3	p 12 para 5	te	CECA PI	The compensation mechanism can be easily managed by the change of tarification as it is done in France for years for example	Delete “ <del>As such, simple and transparent compensation mechanisms are hard to establish</del> ” and replace by “The compensation mechanism can be done through the meter with variable tariff depending on the period”	
1.3.3	p 12 para 5	te	CECA PI		Insert after ...viable option “largely used in some countries for many years”	
1.3.3	p 12 para 5	te	ECOS	While it is true that SFC contribution to DR would be difficult to verify and compensate in the same manner of other DR schemes, a number of other means of compensation for the provision of demand-side flexibility exist. This could include a discount on the price of an appliance, for example. Section 1.7.2 does detail incentives for end-users, but the type of incentive per DR-technique could be attributed clearly.	Clearly attribute compensation schemes that can feasibly be provided to consumer’s owning a SFC-enabled appliance	
1.3.3	p 12 para 5	ge	EPEE	System frequency control may be adapted for household appliances but not necessarily for commercial air conditioners and heat pumps and should therefore not be mandatory.	System frequency control should not be mandatory	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.3.3	p 12 para 6	ge	EPEE	A mandatory approach would limit innovation and flexibility	We support that the mandatory deployment is no longer envisaged	
1.3.3	p 12 last para		ATG	If, in principle, TSOs can establish different technical specifications and modify the min time, in order to preserve the value of the investment done by consumers, it is not enough to encode the specifications in the appliance, it is necessary that the appliance can recognise the synchronous area it is plugged in and there should be a possibility to upgrade the already installed DR appliances.	As technical specifications (deadband width, nominal frequency/voltage/..., etc.) depend for the moment on the specific needs of the local TSO, these need to be <b>harmonised for being programmed</b> in the appliance. <b><u>In case they are not harmonised, appliances should be able to recognise the TSO they depend for supply and select the appropriate set of technical specifications to be followed.</u></b>  <b><u>Appliance programming should be upgradable in case TSOs modify their technical specifications.</u></b>	
1.3.3	p 12		RWE	Frequency is not a "local" grid parameter, but constant throughout the grid system.		
1.3.3	p 12	te	CLAS P	This option has considerable advantages in terms of acceptability and data privacy but there is nothing quantified in this chapter, which makes it difficult to compare the potential of this option to others.	Please quantify the potential impacts (i.e., benefits) of this option.	
1.3.3	p 12	ed	CLAS P	First mention in the report of the „Network Code on Demand Connection“, but the text lacks a description to clarify what it is or who is involved.	Please add a footnote to describe this activity with a hyperlink for more information and/or a reference.	
1.3.3	p 12	ge	ECOS	It is not clear why System Frequency Control is a 'special case'. Will appliances utilising this DR technique be subject to the same assessment criteria under the 'Horizontal Approach' in 1.3.1? It is also not clear under which appliances the technique will be considered.	Detail the assessment criteria SFC will be examined under. Remove 'special case' – a fair, and neutral, assessment of available DR technologies should be a core principle of the preparatory study.	
1.3.3	p 12	ge	CECED	Reactive appliances should be distinguished from smart appliances.  Smart appliances and reactive appliances represent two very different industrial and regulatory challenges		
1.4	p 13	ge	CLAS P	Will one of the future chapters cover the environmental impacts of starting up additional generating units? This impacts question should ideally be considered at a	Please address environmental impacts of starting up additional generating units in this or a future chapter.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				Member State/local level. It is difficult to understand the benefits of smart appliances without first having a clear picture of the current state, needs and planned development of the energy network.	Please clarify the Member State level situation with regard to the energy network.	
1.4	p 13		RWE	In paragraph 1.4 any considerations in respect of the impacts of the "use-cases" on the distribution grid level and local bottlenecks is missing. Furthermore, it is not seen that in the "red light" phase the DSO must be able to override the signals of other market partners due to system security.		
1.4.1	p 13 para 5	ge	EPEE	Completely switching off heat pumps would first of all have a negative impact on the efficiency of the products and secondly have an impact on the user pattern, which is of key importance in the HVACR sector, not only because of comfort but because of other technical demands in laboratories or industrial applications for instance.	Heat pumps should not be completely switched off but rather run at 20% for instance	
1.4.1	p 13 para 6	ge	EPEE	To have sufficient heat to be stored, "oversized" heat pumps shall be stored to heat up more the building or water compared with normal usage, when receiving the Demand Response.	The limited impact should not be over-simplified	
1.4.1	p 13	te	NVE	In relation to grid congestion management there is another relevant use case: With limited grid capacity per household, load management may take place within the individual building by prioritizing the loads. This will be relevant e.g. when adding new flexible loads like EV's. For example when an induction hub is turned on in the evening (high load), the EV charger should stop charging until the induction hub is turned off again. This can be handled by a Home Energy Controller/load controller e.g. up against fixed or dynamic capacity restrictions send by system operators, aggregators etc.	It is proposed to add an additional use case example: <b>Use case example 1c: Grid congestion related on-site load management of smart appliances</b>	
1.4.2	p 14	ge	CECA PI	It is explained in the previous pages that the possible shift is small and that most of it can already be done with the existing solutions	Delete 1.4.2 as a use-case	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.4.2	p. 14	ge	CLAS P	This option may not represent a significantly higher potential than a start-time delay coupled with good information/tariffs for consumers. Questions like these should be studied in subsequent Tasks.		
1.4.3	p 14 para 5		ATG	As TSOs can fix their own frequency technical specifications, it is important that the appliance recognise the valid specifications to be followed. It is therefore needed that there is at least some communication to the appliance, when it is plugged in. Communication from the appliance may be needed from a business standpoint in order to assess the level of service provided (unless only the capacity is taken into account) It is proposed to delete the sentence	This type of DR is based on internal measurements and control: The appliance is equipped with power measurements (e.g., frequency and voltage) and it switches or modulates its electricity consumption in function of those measurements. <del>This type of control requires no communication to or from the appliance.</del>	
1.4.3	p 14	ge	EPEE	In 1.2, page 3 para 4 it is stated that focus is [...] also on appliances used in commercial sectors (e.g. commercial refrigeration appliances).	Add a use case example for commercial refrigeration (EPEE can provide one)	
1.5	pp 14-23	ge	CECED	CECED identified a number of important misconceptions in this section dedicated to interoperability and gaps.  CECED strongly advises the consultants to focus on communications architecture already elaborated and agreed, such as the Smart Grid Coordination Group reference developed through the standardisation mandate M490. The key element of that architecture is the Customer Energy Manager (CEM), which is a logical function that can potentially be integrated in different devices (home energy gateway, smart meter, or smart appliance) or even through the cloud. The CEM plays the role of logical interface between the home (smart appliances) and outside the home (service providers, suppliers, aggregators,...).  All the actors involved in the development of smart grids have agreed to this infrastructure illustrated p.28 of the report. Substantial work has been done (notably by		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>CECED).</p> <p>CECED calls for avoiding duplication of work. Focusing on the M490 architecture would narrow down the spectrum of the study to focus on concrete challenges and achievable goals. Focusing on the CEM model would mean basing the development of smart appliances on the work accomplished until now by the smart grid community.</p> <p>Working on a new architecture for that study would be inefficient and not take into account the current dynamic cooperation of stakeholders involved in smart grids and smart appliances.</p> <p>In the frame of that architecture model, CECED calls for a technology agnostic approach.</p>		
1.5	p 14		RWE	<p>According to the aforementioned (<i>comment of RWE regarding Section 1.4, p. 13</i>), the DSO is completely missing in all statements concerning the interoperability aspect. The idea of a “pre-qualification” of facilities (to thereby avoid potential local problems) seems also to be unknown.</p>		
1.5.1		te	ANEC /BEU C	<p>The study should investigate the impact of smart functions to the energy consumption of appliances, which can be affected by the increase of switch-off/switch-ons as well as their permanent stand-by status.</p> <p>We would also recommend to assess if/how smart functions affect the energy efficiency of smart appliances.</p>		
1.5.1	p 14 para 1	ed	ESMI G	<p>Since the (change in) consumption has to be measured according the applicable tariff scheme in order for the consumer to be rewarded for his flexibility, the link with the AMI is also important (see information to be exchanged indicated by EG3 in its specification of the recommendation: “Timely access to data while ensuring consumer privacy”).</p>	<p>Update as: the link between the individual appliance and the supply side (BRP, aggregator, energy efficiency service provider etc.) via a home energy manager or internet/cloud systems, and the AMI</p>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.5.1	p 14 para 6	te	ANEC /BEU C	Interoperability amongst smart appliances – including those of other manufacturers – is a core condition for consumer engagement. Interoperability would allow households to accommodate appliances of different manufacturers. This does not only create a level-playing field for manufacturers and facilitates competition but also prevents that consumers find themselves locked-in and forced to use products of a single company.	Proposed addition:  Interoperability amongst smart appliances – including those of other manufacturers – must be ensured. The smart appliance should be interoperable and communicate with/to other elements in the AMI such as the in-home display, smart phone and the smart metering architecture (CEM, Home energy management).	
1.5.1	p 14 para 6	ge	EHI	Many appliances also in the field of heating do have communication capabilities. They have mostly been provided to establish a link to service organizations, the manufacturer of the equipment or the user for remote control of parameters. Demand response communication would require defined interfaces and data structures, which – to our understanding – are being developed. Communication to be handled via central home energy management units or building automation units requires those products to be made compatible for the purpose of this lot's target, too. This goes on top of communication requirements coming from the system integration side (Nest, HomeKit etc. or Bacnet etc.).	"1.5.1 For the purpose of this report interoperability is understood as the link between the individual appliance and the supply side (BRP, aggregator, energy efficiency service provider etc.) either directly via internet/cloud systems or via a separate device (home energy manager) to make it possible to achieve a better balancing of energy generation and energy consumption within the grid."	
1.5.1	pp 14-15		ATG	Portability and upgradability of the DR capability is as important as long-term spare parts availability.	When comparing the available technical solutions, the extensibility <b>and upgradability</b> of the system, the compatibility with other systems (of different manufactures and brands), the long-term availability of spare parts and operation security are important criteria, which influence this decision.	
1.5.1	p 15 para 4	ge	EPEE	Completely switching off heat pumps would first of all have a negative impact on the efficiency of the products and secondly have an impact on the user pattern, which is of key importance in the HVACR sector, not only because of comfort but because of other technical demands in laboratories or industrial applications for instance.  Also in the non-residential air conditioning systems and	Remove stop signals, an aggregator should send consumption reduction signals	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				commercial refrigeration, there is already centralized controller which is controlling the each product even with the total power consumption of the system. So with those system having centralized controller, the signal should not be send to the product directly, but to be send to the centralized controller.	Add the words of “centralized controller”. “E.g. an aggregator should be able to send stop signals and delayed start signals to 100,000 heat pumps or centralized controller which is controlling the pumps in a given Member State.”	
1.5.1	p 15 para 4	ge	JBCE	In the case of non-residential air conditioning systems, manufacturers already use a centralized controller which is controlling each product without compromising the comfort of users and at the same time achieving energy savings. Therefore, in the case of these systems with a centralized controller, the signal should not be sent directly to the product, but to the centralized controller.	Add the words “centralized controller”. “E.g. an aggregator should be able to send stop signals and delayed start signals to 100,000 heat pumps or <i>centralized controller</i> which is controlling the pumps in a given Member State.”	
1.5.1	p 15 para 5	ge	ESMI G	Please include reference to the M490 flexibility architecture that is shown later in the document. The architectures are conflicting: the Consumer Energy Management System is missing. A Smart Meter will not communicate with an appliance directly.	Please indicate that the architectures in this document may be conflicting and have to be aligned.	
1.5.1	p 15 para 5	ge	ESMI G	Why per appliance? The Smart Meter will give total consumption, that is important for the Aggregator / BRP.	Correct the text	
1.5.1	p 15 para 6	ge	ESMI G	Consumer flexibility remuneration can happen through the tariff or a capacity and/or activation fee - based on total consumption	Add: based on total consumption	
1.5.1	p 15 last para	te	CECA PI	The right period for the measurement of the electricity consumption of the appliance depends on the amount of energy at stake.	Replace “for each quarter of an hour” by “for the appropriate period depending on the savings at stake”	
1.5.1	p 15 last para		ATG	Measuring consumption every quarter of an hour may represent a limitation for some type of DR services ((e.g. FC). It is proposed to cancel the reference to a fixed lapse of time.	The appliance electricity consumption is measured <del>for each quarter of an hour of the day</del> and the data is transferred to the electricity retailer. The consumer pays for the electricity used with the relevant time-of-use tariff or a flat rate. Consumer flexibility remuneration can happen through the tariff or a capacity and/or activation fee.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.5.1	p 15 last para	ge	Daikin	<p>'The appliance energy consumption is measured' → How is this measured. Does every device need a separate electricity meter?</p> <p>Other solutions can be considered, such as using one external electricity meter for several appliances that run during different time intervals.</p>		
1.5.1	p 16 fig 1		RWE	The presentation is based on purely market-serving flexibility. Interests and technical systems of DSO are lacking as well as of the TSO. The same applies for fig.2 at page 17.		
1.5.1 + 1.6.2	p 16 fig 1 p 17 fig 7	te	ANEC /BEU C	<p>Does architecture depicting the communication transfers in figure 1 refer to the Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) of the CEN-CLC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group, mentioned in figure 7?</p> <p>The figure 1 shows a direct connection between the appliance and the smart meter, whereas in the AMI the connection goes via the HEG.</p> <p>We invite the study team to assess whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the smart appliance will be connected to the in-home display (via EMG and H2+H1 interface in the AMI)</li> <li>- and whether information about energy consumption of smart appliance will be sent to the in-home display.</li> </ul>		
1.5.1	p16 para 1 after fig 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	<p>„..Figure 5 details.....“</p> <p>The text explaining this figure is poorly written.</p>	Shall be Figure 2 and not 5. Revise the text explaining Figure 2.	
1.5.1	p 16 para 1	ed	EPEE	N/A	Figure 2 instead of 5	
1.5.1	p 16 last para	te	Daikin	Encoding is not the same as mapping. Mapping refers to a change in data model (for example between HEG and appliance), while encoding refers to the process of putting a message into a code for efficient transfer.	Delete: '(also called mapping)'	
1.5.1	p 17	ge	Daikin	Lot 33 should define the interface between aggregator	Lot 33 should define the Application layer, and	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				<p>and smart appliances or home controller (Application layer, in Figure 2 represented by the vertical line between 'house' and 'internet'). This interface should be technology agnostic and listed in an international standard.</p> <p>When the appliance includes the HEMS/BEMS function the appliance should support this interface.</p> <p>For the information layer and communication layer several protocols and communication media should be allowed. To facilitate the use and spreading of these protocols an overview of all industry relevant present or future communication protocol/technology could be provided, for example, SEP2.0, EEBUS over IP, BACNET, ECHONET Lite.</p>	<p>choose one standard. Recommended Application layer is OpenADR, when considering export of EU products to other countries.</p> <p>For the information layer and communication layer several protocols and communication media should be allowed.</p>	
1.5.1	p 17	ge	EPEE	The application layer should be technology agnostic and be able to map on the below layer(s) (information-communication) of all industry relevant present or future communication protocols/technologies.	Figure 2 should be defined according to the OSI layer model.	
1.5.1	p 17	ge	ESMI G	Refer to the ESO Standards here	Refer to the ESO Standards	
1.5.1	p 17 2nd bullet point	ge	ESMI G	"control signals from the grid"? This channel has not been included in the architecture diagrams.	Include channel to the grid in the architecture diagrams	
1.5.1	p 17	ge	JBCE	Examples of information content include information signals from the appliance to the grid, for example, safety/comfort/maintenance. Please study safety aspects throughout the study and clarify how and by whom safety can be guaranteed.		
1.5.1	p 17 fig 2	ge	JBCE	Figure 2 is not following the wording of the OSI layer model.	Figure 2 should follow the wording and the definition of the OSI layer model.	
1.5.1	p 17 fig 2	ge	JBCE	Several data models and ontologies are listed in the figure. However, this list is not exhaustive. Please consider all relevant protocols.	Please avoid making non exhaustive lists of protocols. Thus, either make the list exhaustive, or do not	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
					refer to specific examples.	
1.5.2	para 3	te	ANEC /BEU C	We encourage the study team to investigate how the communication or transfer of data is secured along all communication channels. If the data transfer is done through, i.e. the wifi of the household, it may only be protected by a firewall. This protection would probably be insufficient. Which security and privacy standards are in place for the connection between the internet (cloud) and the smart devices? For example, would the data be encrypted?		
1.5.2	p 18 para 3, line 4	ed	ECO DESI GN	..“There are two ways for appliances to interfere with the energy system....“	The word interfere is probably used incorrectly in this context, please revise this text.	
1.5.2	p 18 para 4	ed	ESMI G	“which today is often not yet the case” - Claim is not substantiated: The functionality of meters is driven by MS and not limited by technology	Update as: “which today is not everywhere mandated by the national regulator”	
1.5.2	p 18 last para	te	CECA PI		Insert: “The cloud architecture makes every appliance reacting itself to grid stimuli, without optimizing the energy consumption / consumption profile at the home level nor reacting differently in a degraded mode (with energy restriction to save the grid for example).  The centralized architecture makes the local optimization easy and allow the consumer to define its own priorities in case of need to reduce the energy currently used.	
1.5.2	p 19 fig 3	ed	ECO DESI GN	..“Figure 3: two types of interfaces“	Please provide a better caption for this figure.	
1.5.2	p 19 para 2		ATG	It is important that interoperability boxes enable the M2M interface in full respect of the M2U (machine to user) interaction in terms of expected performances	The tools and data models can subsequently be applied by the industry to produce ETSI M2M compliant devices, or interoperability boxes to make existing, non-ETSI-M2M devices interwork	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
					with an ETSI M2M system-, <b>while ensuring the fulfilment of user's expectations in terms of performances.</b>	
1.5.2	p 19 last para	ed	ECO DESIGN	This paragraph is repeated twice.	Delete repeated paragraph.	
1.5.2	p 20 para 8	te	CECA PI	The document still mentions gaps, however giving no evidence of such communication architecture gaps there is no evidence that gaps are remaining	TC 205 and TC 59 are currently working on an data model communication architecture and will soon deliver output	
1.5.2	p 20 last para	te	ANEC /BEU C	The last paragraph notes: <i>„There are a large number of architecture initiatives aiming at achieving one data model and communication architecture standard. However, there is a risk that there are parallel activities taking place in some areas and lack of activities in other areas.“</i> In order to prevent the risk of parallel communication models and architectures and in order to ensure interoperability, we should consider one communication architecture, which has been developed and agreed through a consensus-based approach.		
1.5.3	p 19 para 1	te	ANEC /BEU C	The first paragraph notes: <i>„Note that a smart appliance may be equipped with the functionality to be interoperable to multiple of these approaches.“</i> We would like to strengthen this requirement and recommend that smart appliances are capable of being interoperable to the multiple approaches mentioned in the report.		
1.5.3	p 19 para 1	te	ANEC /BEU C	Users have to be in control of their appliances. A direct control should not be imposed from outside the house, if the user does not agree with it.  The ultimate right to override any programme is of paramount importance for consumers, especially for	Proposed addition: Consumer should retain control and always be able to override any programme.	

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				those, who find themselves in urgent need to switch on/off a certain device.		
1.5.3	p 21 para 2	te	CLAS P	„This implies updates of the control systems, but not of the installed smart appliances.“  As the software managing the smart appliance will need to be periodically updated, there should be a mechanism to make sure that consumers having invested in a smart appliance don't have to choose between signing new terms and conditions that they wouldn't agree with and using the smart features of their appliance.	Please discuss firmware updates for smart appliances, and how consumer rights over terms and conditions will be protected.	
1.5.3	pp 21-22		RWE	In paragraph 1.5.3 there are no considerations in respect of emergency actions by the DSO/TSO – The “external objectives” mentioned in that paragraph are not the most critical topics in our view.		
1.5.3	p 22 para 4	te	CECA PI	Control architecture gaps -the current statement internal and external control work are considered in the standardization (See figure 7) -sometimes internal or external control is more efficient which depends on the application	Delete the last 3 statements: “If the external control and external objectives model is to be supported, additional work is required to define broadly applicable generic flexibility interfaces for the smart appliances. If the internal control and external objectives model is to be supported, additional work is required to define what control a smart appliance should at least support and how the objectives for each control case are formatted. If the internal control and internal objectives model is to be supported, additional work is required to define what control a smart appliance should at least support.”	
1.5.3		te	CLAS P	We would suggest that this section should include a paragraph on data privacy and the implications of each type of architecture.	Please add a paragraph on data privacy as it relates to each type of architecture.	
1.5.4	p 23 para 2	ed	ECO DESI	This paragraph fits better under „Appliance gaps“	Consider moving the text under the section „Appliance gaps“	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
			GN			
1.5.4	p 22 bullet point 1	ge	Daikin	Request for clarification. What is meant by: 'This module should have <u>always on live connection</u> .' What is meant by always? On live?	Please use a correct technical term for „on live	
1.5.4	p 22 para 9 bullet point 1	te	ECO DESI GN	This module should have always on live connection.	Please use a correct technical term for „on live connection“. Moreover, is this statement really true?	
1.5.4	p 22 para 9	ge	EPEE	The aim is to develop common language for interoperability not to prescribe design options. These should be left to the manufacturers	Don't be too prescriptive in terms of re-designing products, i.e. chip.	
1.5.4	p 23 para 3	te	CECA PI	appliance gaps appliance is taken into account by TC 59	Add : “appliance is taken into account by TC 59”	
1.5.4	p 23 para 3, line 1,2	ed	ECO DESI GN	...“There is a need for development for the individual manufacturers, however common architecture standards for the information and the communication layer, the gaps should be minor.“	This text is not clear, please consider revising it.	
1.5.5	p 23 para 4, line 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	...„The communication carrier from the end-user (home, office, etc) and <u>office</u> is mainly the internet.“	What office? Unclear sentence, please consider revising it.	
1.5.5	p 23 para 6	te	Daikin	Zigbee, WiFi and Bluetooth are also RF. This makes the inclusion of RF redundant.	Delete: 'RF'.	
1.5.5	p 23 para 6	ge	Daikin	A multitude of communication carriers is described. What is the advantage of including non-IP based communication carriers?		
1.5.6	p 23	ed, te	ECO DESI GN		In Section 1.1 under Task 1 Scope (on page 1 of this report) it says... “Smart appliances/meters are part of the Commission’s work plan 2012-2014,..”. The report though is only focusing on smart appliances. Nevertheless, in section 1.5.6 there could be a better explanation of why smart meters are included in this report, particularly in the section of interoperability and gaps. It could explain what approach is taken, in general, for	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
					smart meters in this preparatory study, and then address the interoperability issues.	
1.5.6	p 24 para 1	te	ECO DESI GN	..., however, not for the transmission of the <u>communication signals between the demand and the supply side.</u> "	Is this correct? Please check Figures 6 and especially Figure 7, where a <u>simple external consumer display</u> is presumably connected to a smart meter.	
1.5.6	p 24 para 1	te	ESMI G	"however, not for the transmission of the communication signals between the demand and the supply side.": Claim is not correct: The Network operator will set consumption/generation limits that the CEMS need to work with	Update as: The smart meters are assumed to continue to be important for the main metering and payment of the energy delivered, and the transmission of information between the demand and supply side.	
1.5.6	p 24 para 2	ed	CLAS P	„The smart meter roll-out is ongoing in most EU Member States, however, not always with the full set of necessary functionalities in place.”  It is unclear what these necessary functionalities are - the sentence in the paragraph before this statement states that Smart Meters will not be important "for the transmission of the communication signals between the demand and the supply side".	Please clarify what the necessary functionalities are and the role of Smart Meters.	
1.5.6	p 24 para 2	ed	ECO DESI GN	..”The smart meter roll-out is ongoing in most EU Member states, however, <u>not always with the full set of necessary functionalities in place</u> ”.	Please revise this whole paragraph, make it clearer: .... <i>with the full set of necessary functionalities</i> -> for what? A reference for such a statement is missing.	
1.5.6	p 24 para 2	ge	ESMI G	“The smart meter roll-out is ongoing in most EU Member States, however, not always with the full set of necessary functionalities in place” – Reference should be made to the survey performed in SGTF Expert Group 1 on Smart Meter functionalities. This survey shows that at least the consumer focused functionalities are in place in a clear majority of the member states	Please refer to the Interoperability and Functionality survey performed by SGTF EG1 in Q1-Q2 2015.	
1.5.6	p 24		RWE	End of paragraph 1.5: The separation of metering point operators, suppliers and similar market roles (grid operation, supplier, customer) is still required due to IT		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				security and data protection taking into account the ownership structure of appliances and transfer points to be discussed.		
1.5.6	p 24	te	ECOS	<p>The work of the EC Smart Grid Task Force Expert Group 1 'Interoperability' has now completed the Smart Meter gap analysis of Member States. This information should be reflected, to some degree, in this report.</p> <p>A main finding of the work was that the application of EU standards, allowing interoperability with other DR-enabled devices across H2 and H3 interfaces is not complete, with an unclear picture of harmonised data formats and models.</p> <p>In addition, a large majority of Member States have not implemented H2 and H3 interfaces on Smart Meters rollouts. Further, a number of Member States have instead opted to provide energy consumption information to consumers over PLC with a web interface (with no possibility to transfer data within the home), for which no standardised API exists within the EU. This is a serious interoperability gap.</p>	<p>Reflect the findings of the EC Smart Grid Task Force Expert Group 1 'Interoperability' in this report – detailing the lack of standards and interoperability between the Smart Meter and other DR-enabled devices across EU Member States. Further, detail the impact this lack of interoperability will have on the establishment of Smart Appliances and what measures could be taken to overcome such obstacles.</p>	
1.6	p 24	ge	JBCE	<p>There are several international standards (IS) in this area such as EEBUS and KNX. ECHONETLite, IEC standard, should be placed as same as them so that over one million air conditioners are speaking ECHONETLite.</p>		
1.6.1	p 25 last two bullet points	te	ECO DESI GN	<p>Are these two <u>Codes</u> already EU regulations in force? Or shall these be included under a separate section?</p>		
1.6.2	p 26 para 3 line 1		ECO DESI GN	<p>The text shows: Error! Reference source not found.</p>	<p>Figure 4?, and possibly include a better caption text for Figure 4.</p>	
1.6.2	p 27 para 1 line 4		ECO DESI GN	<p>The text shows: Error! Reference source not found.</p>	<p>Figure 5?, and possibly include a better caption text for Figure 5</p>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.6.2	p 29 para 1 line 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	..“in the above Figure...”	Please introduce Figure 6 instead. Possibly include a better caption text for Figure 6.	
1.6.2	p 29 fig 7	te	ECOS	There are a number of factually incorrect aspects to this diagram – a thorough examination of the standards and their capabilities would be useful, for example: CLC/TC 205: prEN 50491-12 does NOT cover the H2/H3 interface; instead it covers the interface between the CEM to the HBES/Smart Devices. IEC, CLC TC 13: 62056 does NOT cover the H3 interface. Moreover, the standard series contains only requirements for the data link layer and application layer of the H2 interface.  In addition, it is not clear whether the prEN 50491-12 standard and the EN 62056 standard share the same data models.	Reassess the flexibility functional architecture and accurately reflect the state of European standardisation, concerning the communication between the smart meter and the energy management gateway. It is not clear to ECOS how the provision of DR intends to operate in Europe without a comprehensive set of fully-featured standards across Member States that would avoid market fragmentation.	
1.6.2	p 29 para 4 line 1	ed	ECO DESI GN		Please introduce Figure 7.	
1.6.2	p 29 para 4 line 1		ECO DESI GN	The text shows: Error! Reference source not found.	Figure 7?	
1.6.2	p 30 para 3	ed	CENE LEC TC13	IEC/TC 13 ‘Equipment for electrical energy measurement and load control’	The title has been changed. Correct as: IEC/TC 13 ‘Electrical energy measurement and control’	
<del>1.6.2</del>	<del>p 30 para 3</del>	<del>ed</del>	<del>ESMI G</del>	<del>IEC/TC 13 ‘Equipment for electrical energy measurement and load control’</del>	<del>The title has been changed. Correct as: IEC/TC 13 ‘Electrical energy measurement and control’</del>	
1.6.2	p 30 para 4	ed	CENE LEC TC13	Last sentence: The status of this standard is currently CD (Committee Draft),...	Update as: The status of this standard is currently CDV (Committee Draft for Vote),...	
<del>1.6.2</del>	<del>p 36 para a)</del>	<del>ed</del>	<del>ESMI</del>	<del>Last sentence: The status of this standard is currently CD (Committee Draft),...</del>	<del>Update as: The status of this standard is currently</del>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
			Ⓔ		<del>GDV (Committee Draft for Vote),...</del>	
1.6.2	p 30 para 4	te	Daikin	The standard developed by WG14 also includes specifications for H2 interface.		
1.6.2	p 30 last para	te	CECA PI	delete the bracket “... sufficiently frequent information updates for the customer (every 15 minutes).”	Replace: “... sufficiently frequent information updates for the customer depending on the demand.”	
1.6.2	p 31 para 1	te	CECA PI	delete e.g. “...profiles identified by IEC /TC 13 and CEN/TC 294.”		
1.6.2	p 31 para 2	te	CECA PI	“EN 50491-11 is ... expected to be available in 2015.”	Replace: EN 50491-11 is published since 2015-06	
1.6.2	p 31 para 2 bullet point 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	This work item is expected to be <u>completed by the end of 2014 and expected to be available in 2015.</u>	Please update this text.	
1.6.2	p 31 para 3 line 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	...”The following Figure”.	Please introduce Figure 8, Possibly change the title of Figure 8 to: Selection of relevant standards related to smart appliances and smart home interoperability.	
1.6.2	p 33 para 3 bullet point 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	..”To provide a <u>standardize</u> framework for the common ontology derived from the <u>EC Study Group on Smart Appliances.</u> ”	Change to: Standardized Reference to the <u>EC Study Group on Smart Appliances</u> (?) -> Is it meant the current EU Preparatory study or another working group on smart appliances? Please clarify.	
1.6.2	P37, para 5, line 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	The text shows: Error! Reference source not found.	Figure 9?	
1.6.2	P38, para 3, bullet point 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	...”General rules of <u>intelligentization</u> ...”	Please check, possibly the word “Intelligentization” does not exist in English.	
1.6.2	p 39 para 3 line 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	..”Dozens of <u>consortiums</u> , commercial...”	The plural is: Consortia.	

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.6.2	p 39 fig 10	ed	ECO DESI GN	Figure 10: Standards framework of China NC	Please consider a better wording for this caption, explain NC or include in the list of acronyms.	
1.6.2	p 39 para 4 line 4	ed	ECO DESI GN	..”The trillion dollar question here is whose standards, as this will give the initiator a head start and significant advantage.”	Please consider the style of writing in this technical report and these kinds of sentences.	
1.6.2	p 40 para 6 conclusions	te	EHI	Higher integration devices have to be adapted to the data formats determined on the utility side.	Insert additional sentence: Utility communication standards would be the first to be determined as a matter of the work done by CLC/TC 205 and others.	
1.6.2	p 40 para 7	te	CECA PI	Product safety standards such as IEC/EN 60335 are not the only relevant standards for safety. For HBES devices or appliance, EN50491 series establish safety, emc, and functional safety requirements.	Delete the sentence : “Smart Device Performance Standards should identify the safety issues relevant to that type of device.”  Add “for networked devices or appliances, EN50491 series apply.”	
1.7	p 41 line 4	ge	CECA PI	The part 1.7 regarding possible market / business modes is welcomed and should be more developed	Add this information to the paragraph: ‘ The standard developed by WG14 also includes specifications for H2 interface.’.	
1.7	p 1 bullet list	ge	Daikin	Incentives are also a pre-condition for smart appliances and smart grids	Add 3rd bullet: ‘incentives’.	
1.7	p 41 para 2	ge	EPEE	In 1.2, page 3 para 4 it is stated that focus is [...] also on appliances used in commercial sectors (e.g. commercial refrigeration appliances).	Add an example for commercial refrigeration (EPEE can provide one)	
1.7.1	p 41	te, ed	CLAS P	„It is also worth noticing that SEDC has assessed the access for demand response providers and that there can exist demand response activities in not-open power markets performed by the national energy regulatory operators though these often would be targeted larger energy consumers such as manufacturing industry.“  It would be beneficial to explore and present the reasons for this choice and discuss how this option compares with a broad deployment of smart appliances at the household	Please discuss the reasons for this choice and please edit the sentence to clarify its meaning.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				level – in view of the functions defined for smart appliances.		
1.7.1	p 41	ed	ECO DESI GN	Member States <u>access</u> to demand side resources	Please consider a better title for this section.	
1.7.2	p 42	te	ANEC /BEU C	Participation in Demand Response schemes must be voluntary and with the control of consumers. They should always be asked for their consent to opt in and have the right to withdraw their consent given earlier. They should also have the ultimate right to override any programme without being penalised.  Protection must be in place for those unable to change their consumption pattern. Consumers in vulnerable conditions should not be exposed to increased costs of peak-time energy.  Consumers not able to participate in such a scheme should not be penalised by higher tariffs.		
1.7.2	p 42	ge	CLAS P	This section would benefit from more references to past experiences that took place in individual Member States. Some of the issues may be very similar to what is encountered with the deployment of smart meters – including for example additional costs, additional energy consumption, data privacy issues and benefits realised from the deployment.	Please add references and discussion on experience with Smart Meters.	
1.7.2	p 42	te	CLAS P	„Thereby, each increase of comfort loss requires more attractive incentives.“ And potentially also each increase of data sharing as it is a raising concern (cf. for example Informal Session at eceee summer study „ <a href="#">Forced to be smart?</a> “)	Please discuss the implications of an increase in data sharing.	
1.7.2	p 42	ed	ECO DESI GN		Please consider some kind of summary for the whole report at the end.	
Annex 1	p 1	ge	ECO		Consider the previous page numbering of the main	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
			DESI GN		document, to be followed by the Annexes. Include numbering of the even pages.	
Annex 1	p 4 para 3	te	CLAS P	„The total energy consumption remains the same, even if it is shifted. Energy consumed extra or less at a certain point in time must be compensated for at another time.“  Not necessarily - as mentioned in the body of the report and on the next page, energy consumption can be increased because of a waste of energy (e.g. in the case of an interrupted wash cycle for a washing machine or dishwasher).	Please clarify that energy consumption can also increase due to power demand interruption requests.	
Annex 1	p 5 para 1	te	CLAS P	By taking into account all households in Europe, the energy shifting potential of washing machines is about 4.86 GWh.“  This section provides very little information on the methodology behind the derivation of this estimate. Please explain how the results of the Smart-A and Linear projects were extrapolated, what was the baseline etc. Existing consumer information, tariffs, features of the stock of appliances etc. differ between Member States: how have these parameters been taken into account? Has the total additional consumption from additional appliances in network standby mode (and probably additional displays) been quantified and reflected in the presented estimates?	Please discuss the methodology and explain how this estimate was prepared.	
Annex 1	p 7 para 3		ATG	Water heaters offer an additional flexibility for DR: i.e. the anticipation of demand not its postponement. This capacity is already widely used in some member states (with a rather static traditional approach)	Based on demand response control signals or power grid measurements, start of the compressor or the water heater may be delayed.  <b><u>Storage water heaters may also be called to anticipate their operations for storing energy in anticipation of future use in the coming hours</u></b>	
Annex 1	p 8	te	CLAS P	Same comment as above on the methodology for page 5 of Annex 1.  Time units of the shifting potentials should always be	Please always specify Wh/kWh/GWh per day/ per year as well as per household/for Europe.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				specified.		
Annex 1	p 8 para 2	te	BDE W	Total shifting potential of water heaters? - needs clarification		
Annex 1	p 13	ge	EPEE	In 1.2, page 3 para 4 it is stated that focus is [...] also on appliances used in commercial sectors (e.g. commercial refrigeration appliances).	Add commercial refrigeration	
Annex 1	p 15 para 1	ed	Daikin	There is a mistake in the following sentence: 'Electricity consumption in Europe (EU27) is assumed to be around 150 TWh for the residential sector and 130 TWh for the residential sector in 2010.'	Clarify which of the two electricity consumptions relates to the residential or commercial sector.	
Annex 1	p 17 table 4	ed	ECO DESI GN	Table 4 (residential only?)	Revise the texts in this table.	
Annex 1	p 20 para 3	te, ed	CLAS P	„The situation can be improved if heat pre-charging of the building is allowed, which is less energy efficient but enables to gain acceptance from the end-user point of view.“  Indeed there is a loss of energy efficiency - pro and cons of this option in terms of environmental impacts should be quantitatively evaluated by this study (although the answer will certainly depend on the energy mix and as such will be very location dependent). Potential cost implications for the end-user should also be considered.	Te: Please consider and discuss cost implications for the end-user as well.  Ed: Acceptation is not an english word, please use „acceptance“	
Annex 1	p 21 para 1	ed	ECO DESI GN	The text shows: Error! Reference source not found. Table	Table 5?	
Annex 1	p 21 last line	te	CLAS P	„It is important to notice that residential and tertiary units are certainly included in the estimate below.“  It would be interesting in terms of policy options to know the share of tertiary vs. residential in the energy shift potential presented in this section.	Please provide more information as to the end-use sectors influencing the energy shift potential.	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
Annex 1	p 22	ge	ECO DESI GN	Blank page – needed?	Eliminate blank page	
Annex 1	p 27 fig 14	ed	Daikin	Fig14 is repeated twice.	Delete one of the two Fig 14.	
Annex 1	p 33 para 4	ed	Daikin	Conclusion Word is missing in sentence: 'are equipped <b>WITH</b> grid communication'.	Add <b>WITH</b> to the sentence.	
Annex 1	p 34 para 2	te	CLAS P	<p>„The estimated stock year 2020 based on planned Ecodesign measures is (Kemna, 2014):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- LFL: Linear fluorescent lamp: 1911 million units</li> <li>- CFL: Compact fluorescent light: 3431 million units</li> <li>- Tungsten: 991 million units</li> <li>- GLS: General lighting service ('incandescent'): 153 million units</li> <li>- HID: High intensity discharge lamp: 47 million units</li> <li>- LED: Light emitting diode: 5482 million units</li> <li>- Tungsten stock: 73 million units“</li> </ul> <p>Recent GfK lamp sales data (used by the IEA 4E Mapping and Benchmarking Annex for their September 2014 report, cf. also CLASP report of March 2015 <a href="http://clasp.ngo/en/Resources/Resources/PublicationLibrary/2015/New-Data-Show-that-LED-Mass-Market-in-Europe-Will-Occur-Sooner-than-Predicted.aspx">http://clasp.ngo/en/Resources/Resources/PublicationLibrary/2015/New-Data-Show-that-LED-Mass-Market-in-Europe-Will-Occur-Sooner-than-Predicted.aspx</a>) indicates that the volumes of CFLs had been over-estimated and the volumes of MV-HL had been under-estimated when assessing the impact of regulation 244/2009. Besides, stage 6 of 244/2009 has been delayed by one year and is now only due to take effect in 2018, meaning that the stock of halogen lamps in 2020 will likely be much higher than estimated in the 2014 VHK study reference here.</p> <p>It is unclear what is meant by „Tungsten stock“ given that „Tungsten“ lamps are already listed in the table above. Please clarify and/or consider whether it is justified to</p>	<p>Please adjust estimated stock to reflect recent policy decisions and more recent stock estimates for Europe. See suggested sources.</p> <p>Please clarify the term „Tungsten stock“ and how it differs from the stock estimate of „Tungsten“.</p>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				keep them separated as in the VHK report.		
Annex 1	p 36 para 3	te	CLAS P	Beyond comfort issues it would seem that dimming or switching off light sources could create safety issues. These are not mentioned in the draft report.	Please describe how potential safety issues have been considered.	
Annex 1	p 40 para 3	ed	ECO DESI GN	References for the section "chargers (low power) are missing	Please include references for the section "chargers (low power)".	
Annex 1	p 42 para 3	te	CLAS P	„Battery Energy Storage Systems are still in an early phase of commercialisation, so the installed base is currently very small. From its nature it has a large potential once installed in larger numbers.“  Only the potential in terms of load shifting is considered here. The potential impact in terms of total consumption, as well as other environmental aspects (use of resources) should be developed in subsequent Tasks.	Please ensure that impact on total consumption and environmental impacts (including resources) are developed and included in forthcoming Task reports.	
Annex 2	p 43	ge	ECO DESI GN	Page numbering shows a different format at this page and onwards. Even pages are not numbered.	Revise page numbering	
Annex 2	p 43, line 1 after main title	ed	ECO DESI GN	...“Framework Document for the typical characterization of grid-connected <u>building's</u> ”.	Shall be “buildings”.	
Annex 2	p 47 - p 50 - p 51	ge	ECO DESI GN	Figures are not introduced in the main text, just placed under sections.	Introduce Figure 16 in p47, introduce Figure 17 in in p50, Introduce Figures 18 and 19 in pages 50 and 51.	
Annex 3	p 57 table 7	ed	CENE LEC TC13	FprEN 62056-1-0 'ELECTRICITY METERING DATA EXCHANGE – The DLMS/COSEM suite - Part 1-0: Smart metering standardization framework' – Sept. 2014	Update as: EN 62056-1-0 'Electricity metering data exchange – The DLMS/COSEM suite – Part 1-0: Smart metering standardization framework – Available	
Annex 3	p 57 table 7	te	CENE LEC TC13	IEC/TC13  IEC 62056-7-5 'ELECTRICITY METERING - DATA EXCHANGE FOR METER READING, TARIFF AND	Update as:  IEC, CLC/TC 13	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				LOAD CONTROL - Part 21: Direct local data exchange; Amendment A: Mode D DFI interface with OBIS codes'  Dec. 2015	FprEN 62056-7-5 'Electricity metering data exchange – The DLMS/COSEM suite – Part 7-5: Local data transmission profiles for Local Networks (LN)'  Mar. 2016	
<del>Annex 3</del>	<del>P-57 table 7</del>	<del>ed</del>	<del>ESMI G</del>	<del>FprEN 62056-1-0 'ELECTRICITY METERING DATA EXCHANGE – The DLMS/COSEM suite – Part 1-0: Smart metering standardization framework' – Sept. 2014</del>	<del>Update as: EN 62056-1-0 'Electricity metering data exchange – The DLMS/COSEM suite – Part 1-0: Smart metering standardization framework – Available</del>	
<del>Annex 3</del>	<del>P-57 table 7</del>	<del>te</del>	<del>ESMI G</del>	<del>IEC/TC13 IEC 62056-7-5 'ELECTRICITY METERING – DATA EXCHANGE FOR METER READING, TARIFF AND LOAD CONTROL – Part 21: Direct local data exchange; Amendment A: Mode D DFI interface with OBIS codes' Dec. 2015</del>	<del>Update as: IEC, CLC/TC 13 FprEN 62056-7-5 'Electricity metering data exchange – The DLMS/COSEM suite – Part 7-5: Local data transmission profiles for Local Networks (LN)' Mar. 2016</del>	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. p 6 para 5)	<b>Type of comment<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>SH<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Proposed change by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Consortium observations on each comment submitted</b>

### b. Papers

The following organizations commented by means of a paper on the draft of the Task 1 report.

- BEAMA BEAMA Ltd
- DECC UK Department of Energy and Climate Change
- EPEE European Partnership for Energy and the Environment
- ESMIG European Smart Metering Industry Group
- NVE Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate

Please note that EPEE, ESMIG and NVE commented as well using the format (see section A.a above).

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. p 6 para 5)	<b>Type of comment<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>SH<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Proposed change by the Stakeholder</b>	<b>Consortium observations on each comment submitted</b>

## B. COMMENTS OF PRIVATE STAKEHOLDERS

### a. Format

Please find below the comments of private stakeholders on the draft of the Task 1 report, using the format :

- FC = Feikes Christoph
- KS = Köppen Simon
- RS = Rank Stefan
- RK = Rohrbacher Kai
- RJ = Rudnik Jörg
- VK = Volker Korndörfer
- WD = Wolff Detlev

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted

General	General	ed	RK	There are several broken links throughout the document	Search for "Error! Reference source not found"	
General	General	ge	RK	<p>Forcing people when they are not allowed to do their daily tasks like washing laundry, vacuum-cleaning, cooking, etc. just for energy load shifting would constitute a massive violation of the principle of proportionality, be unrealistic and contradict basic human laws of self-determination.</p> <p>Earlier studies state the potential for DR in residential households to ~8% of its electrical energy demand. As the residential sector in total amounts to only &lt;30% of the electrical demand anyway, the resulting overall potential is way too small to legitimate such interventions.</p> <p>It is also the wrong economic approach to the underlying problem: In a market-driven world, it suffices to ensure the proper pricing of any good and the "law of supply and demand" will regulate its use automagically.</p> <p>Indeed, the pure fact that the EU thinks about *compulsory* measures just proofs that the current price of energy is not reflecting its actual, true value! If it were, consumers would rationally adapt THEMSELVES accordingly -w/o any legal obligation whatsoever on the demand side.</p> <p>For the supply side, a similar argumentation applies: If the price of electricity would reflect its true value, electricity suppliers would rush to fill in gaps with appropriate supply.</p>	<p>The EU has (only) to enable a functioning free market for electricity. "Free" in that regards means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- without distorted competition in prices / subsidies</li> <li>- enforce a technical infrastructure that enables free trade of electrical energy internationally</li> <li>- this especially includes a barrier-free internationally valid interface to trade energy</li> </ul> <p>These measures will mobilize the market forces and solve the problem far better than aiming to subject the people to another bureaucracy red tape.</p>	

1 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 SH = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.1	pp 1-2	ge	RJ	We reject remote activation and internet connectivity of appliances due to privacy , security , safety and cost issues. Frequency control / power line quality is ok , if benefit is shared between producer and consumer of energy.		
1.1	p 1	te	RJ	It is a logical mistake to conclude from internet availability for the household to network accessibility for household appliances. Most rooms will not have wired LAN. Powerline LAN may be the obvious choice as such will increase power consumption in general and increase security , safety and radio emission issues. WLAN will open attack vectors. Network administration knowledge does not exist in the large majority of population.		
1.2	p 2 para 4	ge	FC	There is no proof stated for the supposed "tendency of decreasing classical *centralized* power", on the contrary, politics is trying to counter decentralization!		
1.2	p 2 last bullet	ge	FC	Modification of capabilities by a "service provider"? Consent of "appliance" owner? Rollback to status quo ante?		
1.2	p 4 para 4	ge	FC	Wrong focus! Significant potential "only" in industrial applications!		
1.3.2	p 4 etc	te	VK	Nonsense. Dishwasher etc.: If it is full, it has to run, there isn't much flexibility. In the night it is too noisy. We do have a reduced night tariff and never do use it for this purpose.	Delete the suggestion	
1.3.2	p 4 etc	te	VK	Nonsense: Heatings etc. are regulated by temperature. I do not want to get a cold house or a warm deep freezer just for saving some cents.	Delete the suggestion	
1.3.2	p 5 para 4	ge	KS	The expectation for customer's acceptance as „high“ is not justified	The level for Consumer's acceptance for remote activation, especially so for dishwashers and washing machines, has to be determined and cannot be anticipated by the authors	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of com- ment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.3.2	p.5	Ge	WD	The use of appliances in the household is based on availability and planned duration of processes. An external influence can only be accepted on a case-by-case agreement on that external influence.		
1.3.2	p 5 para 4	ge	FC	Consumer acceptance will only be there at all if the job is done until a consumer specified deadline.		
1.3.2	p 5 para 4	ge	FC	It's not "there can be concerns", it's "there are concerns!" There are laws / regulations concerning the usage of e.g. washing machines only under supervision and not at all at night!		
1.3.2	p 5 para 4	ge	FC	Any impact on the quality of an appliance's performance will not be accepted, something like "colour fading" will result in claims for compensation.		
1.3.2	p 6 para 7	ge	FC	"consumer's acceptance is supposed to be low." This is an understatement, isn't it?		
1.3.2	p 6	ge	RJ	We agree with DR potential for heat pumps and would accept even some regulation similar to VDE AR 4105 even without compensation scheme, if no loss of comfort occurs if not compensated.		
1.3.2	p 6 para 3	te	VK	Nonsense. These devices are used when they are needed. I do not want to wait for my meal three hours just for saving some cents.	Delete the suggestion	
1.3.2	p 6 para 9	te	VK	Nonsense: Electric heating etc. I have a heat pump, and its regulation may not be disturbed by an additional regulation (I am an electrician)	Delete the suggestion	
1.3.2	p 9 para 4	te	VK	Perhaps. chargers (low power periodical). But ridiculous. If I need the battery of my DSLR loaded because I have a shooting within two hours, I do not want to be disturbed by a blocked charger.	Delete the suggestion	
1.3.2	p 10 para 6	te	VK	Nonsense: Wherefrom this smart technology will know,	Delete the suggestion	

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				that I am in the room reading my newspaper???		
1.3.2	p 10 sub "lighting"	ge	FC	Suppose switching off the lighting in a staircase, and someone falls? With respect to the comparatively small power consumption of lighting installations – if we exclude Belgian highways – the possible savings don't justify the effort!		
1.3.2	p 11, sub "conclusion"	ge	FC	Regarding the remarks above, the first bullet is plain wrong, the second might be almost correct, the third is correct as far as it might be more acceptable to switch of lights rather than risking losing electric power at all, which might be necessary for life sustaining (medical) appliances.		
1.3.3	p 12	te	FC	Please consult an electrical engineer about cost and especially <b>efficiency</b> of a scheme to manage differing frequencies in partial grids!		
1.3.3	p 12	te	RJ	The statement in 1.3.3. that the DR contribution cannot be measured is incorrect. The frequency is the same in the whole subnet , therefore both the household meter , the regional supplier/grid operator and a 3rd party authority (government oder auditor) can execute agreed commercial contracts within a legal framework for frequency based energy supply and consumption. Correction factors for high and low times could reviewed / changed annually similar to EEG charge in Germany to adjust to market conditions. The most complex (superset) household meter would need 6 counters : 3 for consumption ( low, normal, high frequency ) and 3 for supply. If net metering would be mandated for DR scenario a total of 3 , this is just one more than todays bi-directional meters in Germany. This would be fair and secure and provide plug-an-play capabilities even for installed base via add-on adapters e.g. for battery chargers of power tools. This does not restrict legislation for emergency conditions like VDE AR 4105 to be used		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				for DR enabled appliances. Such an approach would drive a pure market based adoption with minimum cost to general society.		
1.4.1	p 13 ex 1a, last para	ge	FC	More likely: heat pump owner will be made to pay more, if she doesn't agree to imposed "flexibility".		
1.4.1	p 13	ed	RJ	Example 1a: Supported today by PV inverters with digital output plus "smart grid ready" labeled heatpump.		
1.5.1	<del>p.25</del>	te	RJ	The text is either logically flawed or intentionally deceptive. The requirement to able to stop 100000 heat pumps does not imply a capability of the heat pumps to communicate with the supply. This is easily shown to be wrong, because many heat pumps and other electric heating systems in Germany can be remote controlled today by the regional grid operator. Same is true for newly installed PV systems. Frequency control would be another way to achieve this, the technology exists but is not employed on the consumption side.		
1.5.1	p 15	ge	WD	Data transfer in 15 min intervals generates full access to current energy consumption by device. This massive data collection infringes on my right of privacy and informational control. This could only be an opt-in project.		
1.5.2	p 18 para 2	ed	FC	Spelling of "architecture"		
1.5.2	p 19 para 3	ed	FC	What's "interwork?" You might want "interoperability."		
1.5.2	p 19 bottom	ed	FC	Last and second to last paragraphs are identical.		
1.5.2	p 20 para 3, 4	ed	FC	"grouped style" doesn't look so good here!		
1.5.3	p 20, last sentence	ed	FC	Should read "... to be interoperable with a multitude of these approaches."		
1.5.3	p 21 para 4	ed	FC	Should read "... or a cloud based system."		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.5.4	p 22 para 9 bullet 1	te	FC	PLC is only acceptable if PLC's RF frequency pollution is eliminated. Most PLC installations only survive, until someone complains, thereafter they can only be operated, when a violation of existing law is tolerated by the "authorities."		
1.5.4	p 22 para 9 bullet 4	ed	FC	Spelling of "light" in last sentence		
1.5.4	p 23 para 1	ed	FC	Spelling of "complete", should read "complete platform" w/o the word "design"		
1.5.4	p 23 para 2	ed	FC	Should read "... such that the demand control system <b>does</b> not need ..."		
1.5.4	p 23 para 2	ed	FC	How do you "maximize a guarantee?" "end consumers" plural, not genitive!		
1.5.4	p 23 para 3	ed	FC	Did you want to write: "There is a need ..., however, <b>given</b> common architecture ...?"		
1.5.5	p 29	te	RJ	This figure probably ignores secondary housing like holiday houses, garden houses etc. many of those have electricity and at least a freeze protection heating, but no internet.		
1.5.6	p 23	Ge	RJ	Smart meter rollout appears to be driven by lobby interests ( see <a href="https://www.pwc.de/de/energiwirtschaft/assets/studie-smart-metering-final.pdf">https://www.pwc.de/de/energiwirtschaft/assets/studie-smart-metering-final.pdf</a> ), not for public benefit. <a href="http://smart-energy.blog.de/2010/08/24/capgemini-studie-flaechendeckenden-roll-out-smart-meter-oesterreich-9240005/">http://smart-energy.blog.de/2010/08/24/capgemini-studie-flaechendeckenden-roll-out-smart-meter-oesterreich-9240005/</a> ; <a href="http://www.bmwi.de/BMWi/Redaktion/PDF/Publikationen/Studien/kosten-nutzen-analyse-fuer-flaechendeckenden-einsatz-intelligenterzaehler.pdf">http://www.bmwi.de/BMWi/Redaktion/PDF/Publikationen/Studien/kosten-nutzen-analyse-fuer-flaechendeckenden-einsatz-intelligenterzaehler.pdf</a>		
1.6.1	p 24 para 3 bullet 3	ed	FC	"is of utmost importance" should be "is of the utmost importance"		

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
1.6.1	p 25 bullet 7	ed	FC	... non- <b>discriminatory</b> manner ...		
1.6.1	p 25 bullet 8	te	RS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“Member states shall ensure the removal of those incentives in network tariffs that are detrimental to energy efficiency and might hamper demand response.”</li> </ul> <p>This paragraph is very passive and does not support proactive energy efficient tariffs.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“Member states shall ensure the removal of those incentives in network tariffs that are detrimental to energy efficiency and might hamper demand response.”</li> <li>“Member states shall ensure that national energy regulatory authorities introduce energy efficient tariffs to prosumers/ customers. These tariffs shall offer realtime energy production fees resp. network supply earnings to the end prosumer/customer in dependence on realtime under- resp. energy overproduction in network.”</li> </ul> <p>This additional paragraph will enforce the end user to adapt his energy consumption / network supply (e.g. PV mCHP, wind) to the needs of network and would gain the network efficiency a lot.</p>	
1.7	p 41	Ge	RJ	The technique of deliberately providing an incomplete enumeration of options is well known for any kind of deceptive opinion influence attempt. Therefore the scientific value of such documents goes close to zero, since this is obviously content controlled by some interest groups. Remote control of energy usage is not a pre-condition of DR. Apparently there is an interest to suppress certain alternatives and let remote control appear as “no choice” option.		
1.7.2	p 42	ge	FC	I happen to live in Germany. As a private customer, I'm paying (at least) three times the price for electricity compared to an industrial customer, even if the price at the Leipzig electricity stock exchange is <b>negative</b> . Without a <b>substantial reduction</b> of overall cost <b>none</b> of your schemes is <b>acceptable</b> . If the goal is a better management of the distribution		

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted
				network, private “consuming only” households shouldn’t be the target of your studies. You should look into improving managing “prosumers” and the consumption of industrial customers.		
Annex 3	p 91, “battery storage systems”	te	RK	This section forgets to take the „efficiency factor“ into account: the conversion loss to store & fetch energy into batteries will decrease the potential savings of energy. In addition, also the ecological & energy-wise footprint of batteries themselves must be taken into account: It takes (a lot!) of energy to create batteries and again a lot of energy to recycle them correctly at the end of their lifetime. These effects lack in your calculation, you falsely assume "brutto" == "netto".	Correct the energy savings potential by a respective discount.	

1 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general    **te** = technical    **ed** = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)

# Ecodesign Preparatory study on Smart Appliances

## Overview of comments of stakeholders

Date: 07/08/2015

Document: Task 1 report overview of comments

1	2	3	4	5	(6)	(7)
Section No./ Subsection No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Page and Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. p 6 para 5)	Type of comment <sup>1</sup>	SH <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the Stakeholder	Proposed change by the Stakeholder	Consortium observations on each comment submitted

### b. E-mail

The following private stakeholders commented by mail on the draft of the Task 1 report :

- Gipp Siegfried:

Comments about smart appliances in private house.

It is not possible to plan the usage of most appliances in private house. This is only possible for appliances that do not need human attention. As far as I see there are only 2 appliances where such a smart on/off would be possible: Washing machines and dishes cleaner. Both run for some time without any human attention. Both need to complete their run, once started. Any other appliance needs human attention and thus can not be planned by other than the human user.

Any heating units do not need human attention, too. But these depend on weather and the current needs in the house. So these could not be planned either.

I'd be interested in such an appliance, but only if I could choose which to connect to this feature, and if power is guaranteed until the once switched on device runs. Interrupted runs for these machines is not acceptable.

- Dörfler Helmut

Some generally comments on the study "Preparatory study on Smart Appliances, Task 1 Scope":

Despite of the danger of the improvement for third parties to spy everything in the private household and the people who are living in this household (from third parties), I have great concerns about the following topics:

- o Where is the consumption saving of all this, when I need so much devices to control all and to connect with internet instead of a passive current counter?
- o Who will be responsible for the infrastructure in the household and who will administrate it? The consumer itself? The normal consumer won't be able to do that.
- o Who will care for the security? Does every consumer have to be a security specialist, or has he to pay for administration then?
- o What when the infrastructure of the consumer is hacked, who is responsible for damage; and if you read and hear news, everything is getting hacked, if there's a will; no software is free of errors, and the complexer the software the more errors it has.
- o Does the consumer have to change the hardware, let's say every three to four years, because of security concerns?
- o And last but not least, who will in the end pay for all these infrastructure?

So, my conclusion is: there's no need for such a complex infrastructure from the consumer's side and in the end there's no saving, neither in energy nor in money for households.

1 **Type of comment:** ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

2 **SH** = Stakeholder (enter the abbreviation of the organization)